3: 2/cul474

# **EXHIBIT D**

## First Judicial District of Pennsylvania

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010



First Judicial District of Pennsylvania 100 South Broad Street, Second Floor Philadelphia, PA 19110 (215) 683-8000 FAX: (215) 683-8005

> Original File ROBINS4.V1, 166 Pages CRS Catalog ID: 10041277

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

```
Page 2
                                                                                            Page 1
[1]
[2]
[3]
[4]
[5]
                                                                                                               [2] APPEARANCES:
     IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
CRIMINAL TRIAL DIVISION
                                                                                                                             MICHAEL STACKOW, ESQ.
Assistant District Attorney
Counsel for the Commonwealth
JOHNATHAN ROBINS, Pro Se
THOMAS L. McGILL, JR., ESQ.
[6]
   COMMONWEALTH
[7]
                                                                                                                              Counsel for the defendant
      VS.
                                                                                                               191 DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE DIRECT CR. REDR. RECR.
    JOHNATHAN ROBINS
                                     : CP-51-CR-0003430-2009
                                                                                                               [10] Tyra Felder
[9]
                                                                                                                                                     48 78
                                                                                                                  Johnathan Robins
                                                                                                                                               15
[10]
             Courtroom 901 Justice Center
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Friday, March 12, 2010
                                                                                                                                 EXHIBITS
                                                                                                               [12]
[11]
                                                                                                                                                        MARKED
                                                                                                               [13] NO.
                                                                                                              [14] D-1 Driver's License Application 22
D-2 Complaint for Custody 34
[15] D-3 Letter from Wayne Williams, City of
[12]
[14] BEFORE: HONORABLE CHARLES J. CUNNINGHAM, III
                                                                                                                      Philadelphia, Department of Public
Health, Dated December 30, 2008
            AND A JURY
                                                                                                              [16] Health, Dated December 30, 2000
D-4 Photocopy of four MySpace pages
[15]
                   CASE IN CHIEF
                                                                                                               [17]
[17]
                    (VOLUME II)
                                                                                                                               Carl G. Sokolski
                Carl G. Sokolski
                                                                                                                            Official Court Reporter
             Official Court Reporter
                                                                                                                               (215) 683-8060
                (215) 683-8060
                                                                                                                                                                                                            Page 4
```

```
[1]
            PROCEEDINGS.
[2]
           THE COURT: It looks like this
[4] case could go to the jury this morning, right?
           MR. STACKOW: Yes.
[5]
           THE COURT: Before lunch?
           MR. STACKOW: Yes. Judge, I do
[8] have, if I may, one other supplement to the
[9] jury instructions that I gave to the Court
[10] yesterday...
           THE COURT: Did you give one to
[11]
[12] the defendant?
           MR. STACKOW: Yes, I did, Your
[13]
[14] Honor.
            THE COURT: Did you furnish the
[15]
[16] charge with regard to the crime?
[17]
           MR. STACKOW: I didn't, Your
[18] Honor.
           THE COURT: You gave me I think
[19]
[20] a rough copy of the points for charge.
            MR. STACKOW: I did.
[21]
            THE COURT: Do you still have a
[22]
[23] copy of that?
            MR. STACKOW: I do.
[24]
            THE COURT: Mr. Robins, do you
[25]
            Carl G. Sokolski
         Official Court Reporter
            (215) 683-8060
```

```
[2] have all your witnesses here today?
           THE DEFENDANT: The only person
141 Tassume that's going to be testifying is Tyra
[5] Felder, the detective. So we'll just do Miss
[6] Tyra Felder and after that I'll take the
[7] stand.
           THE COURT: Okay.
           MR. STACKOW: And, Your Honor,
[10] may I place something on the record regarding
[11] the detective?
            THE COURT: Sure.
[12]
            MR. STACKOW: After court
[14] yesterday, Mr. McGill provided me with a phone
1151 number for that detective out in Delaware
[16] County and I placed a phone call to that
[17] office this morning, spoke to a detective who
[18] works in the same department, who informed me
[19] that the detective whose name is Shawn Rowe,
[20] the requested witness is Shawn Rowe, that he
[21] was not working, that he would be in at four
[22] o'clock today and that I could leave a voice
[23] mail message. I did leave a voice mail
[24] message asking him to call me. I left him
[25] both my work number and my cell phone number.
            Carl G. Sokolski
         Official Court Reporter
```

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 5 Page 6 [2] I told him generally what it was about, that [2] Ericka's stuff around in March of 2008 in a [3] it was an ongoing trial right now. And I [3] U-Haul van. [4] haven't heard anything since then, since that MR. STACKOW: Do you have a [4] [5] voice mail message was left this morning. [5] date of birth for Miss Felder? MR. McGILL: Your Honor, this THE WITNESS: 12/13/1980. [6] [7] is Courtroom D calling. I scheduled a date MR. STACKOW: Thank you. [7] r[8] for a case that's being continued. May I MR. McGILL: Thank you, Your [9] accept the call? [9] Honor. THE COURT: Sure. [10] -COURT CRIER: Please remain [10] MR. McGILL: Thank you. [11] seated while the ladies and gentlemen of the [11] THE COURT: So you have one [12] [12] jury enter the courtroom. [13] witness and that witness is who again? [13] (Jury summoned.). THE DEFENDANT: Miss Tyra [14] THE COURT: Good morning, [15] ladies and gentlemen. [15] Felder. THE COURT: And Miss Tyra [16] [16] JURY COLLECTIVELY: Good [17] Felder is what? A friend? [17] morning. THE DEFENDANT: A friend. THE COURT: We're starting late [18] [18] 1191 this morning because some of you were late and THE COURT: All right. [19] MR. STACKOW: I would ask for [20] as I pointed out the other day, if one of you [21] an offer of proof, Your Honor. [21] is late, then that makes all of you late [22] because we're not going to get started until THE DEFENDANT: She's going to [23] be testifying about how old Ericka told her [23] all of you are here. [24] she was and she's also going to be testifying That is not the reason why we [24]... [25] when she went with Ericka to go pick up [25] asked you to submit a lunch order. It's not Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

[2] our intention to keep you in confinement from [3] now until the end of the trial just to make [4] sure we know where you are. We take lunch? [5] orders from every jury so that while they're [6] deliberating, and we expect that you will be [7] deliberarting by lunch, so that while you're [8] deliberating you can stay together, eat lunch, [9] take a break, eat lunch, resume deliberation, [10] or eat lunch while you're deliberating. It [11] will be up to you. That's the reason for the [12] lunch order. When we left off yesterday, it [13] [14] was the defense case that was on and it's [15] still the defense case. Mr. Robins, do you [16] have a witness to call? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your [17] [18] Honor. A Mrs. Tyra Felder. COURT CRIER: Please remain [20] standing. Please state for the record your [21] name. Spell your name for the Court. THE WITNESS: Tyra, T-Y-R-A. [22] [23] My last name is Felder, F-E-L-D-E-R-. TYRA FELDER, after having been [2,1][25] duly sworn, was examined and testified as Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Page 7 Tyra Felder - direct [2] follows::. DIRECT EXAMINATION [3] [4] BY THE DEFENDANT: [5] Q. Mrs. Felder. [6] A. Q. Well, Miss Felder. How long [7] [8] have you known Ericka Johnson? Since 2008. [9] A. Who do you know her from? [10] From you. [11] A. [12] Q. How long have you known me? [13] A. Probably since I was like four. Okay. Now, can you tell us [14] [15] what Ericka Johnson told you her age was? [16] Well, when I first Ericka I was [17] doing her hair and she told me that she was [18] eighteen years old. [19] Okay, Q. [20] THE COURT: I'm sorry. I [21] missed the beginning of that. THE WITNESS: When I first met [23] her I was doing her hair and she told me that [24] she was eighteen years old. [25] BY THE DEFENDANT: Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

(215) 683-8060

Page 8

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 10

Page 12

Page 9 Tyra Felder - cross Tyra Felder - direct [1] [2] that's basically it. Her mother never said Well, around what time was Q. [2] [3] her age to me or anything. [3] this? Q. So her mother never told you This had to be around like [5] how old she was? [5] February or March in 2008. No. she didn't. A. Okay. So the baby had just [6] So do you know how old she is [7] Q. [7] been born. [8] now? A. Uh-huh. [8] Can you tell us about the [9] No, I do not. THE DEFENDANT: I'm finished. [10] incident when you went to Ericka's mother's [10] THE COURT: Do you have any [11] house in Upper Darby in a U-Haul van to pick [11] [12] up Ericka's things? [12] questions? MR. STACKOW: I do, yes. Thank Well, when I went to her [13] [14] mother's house, I was sitting outside while [14] you. CROSS EXAMINATION [15] she went in to get her things and her mother [15] [16] came to the door and, well, of course, she was [16] BY MR. STACKOW: Good morning, Miss Felder. [17] cursing and hollering and screaming at me. I [17] Q. [18] didn't know her, you know. And she said to Good morning. A. [18] [19] me, she was like, Do you know how old she is? You wouldn't be surprised to [19] Q. [20] I was like, She told me that she was eighteen. [20] learn that Ericka is seventeen today, would [21] Well, you know, that's how I know how old she [21] you? [22] is. And then she just went back in there and I mean, no. Okay. You said you've known [23] slammed the door, and her and Ericka was 24) the defendant since you were a young girl; is [24] arguing and Ericka just was moving her things. [25] that right?
Carl G. Sokolski
Official Court Reporter [25] and it was just a big, chaotic scene. And Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

[1] Tyra Felder - cross Uh-huh, yes. [2] And so for most of your life [3] [4] you two have been acquainted or friends. Is [5] that fair to say? Well, actually, he was friends [7] with my mom, so, yeah, basically. Okay. When you say with your [9] mom, you mean dating her? No, no, no. Well, actually, he [11] just was friends like with my mom and I had [12] older siblings, so he was friends with them [13] too. Okay. Do you know how old the [14] [15] defendant is today? No. [16] Would it surprise you to learn [17] [18] that he's actually, he'll be forty-four in a [19] couple of weeks? A little surprising, right? Well, I wouldn't know how old A. [20] [21] he is either. Okay. Now, let me ask you [23] this. March of 2008. Or excuse me. What did

[24] you say? February or March of 2008 is when

[25] you first met Ericka Johnson?

Carl G. Sokolski

(215) 683-8060

Official Court Reporter

Page 11 Tyra Felder - cross A Yes. Q. She was not pregnant? [3] ......Q.... A. No. When I did her hair, she [4] [5] just had had the baby. Did she bring in John-John with Q. [6] [7] her? A. [8] Where was this being done? At [9] [10] your house or at some other place? At my house, yes. [11] A. All right. So I take it that [12] [13] Mr. Robins would have had to tell her where to [14] go for this hair appointment; is that right? [15] A. Q. Because he knew where you lived [16] [17] at that time. [18] A. But she had never been to that Q. [19] [20] place before; is that right? [21] A. Was this something that she had [23] to pay you for, the hair? Or was it just [24] something you were doing? Well, I was doing a favor for A. [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 13 Page 14 Tyra Felder - cross [1] [1] [2] him. [2] Q. Had you told her that you were Okay. For Mr. Robins. [3] [3] Q. [4] friends with Mr. Robins prior to that? [4] A. Yes. Q. So he paid you money? [5] A. [5] Longtime friends? [6] Q. [6] A. Yes. Now, was Mr. Robins there when A. Q. [7] [7] [8] she came to get her hair done? MR. STACKOW: That's all the [8] A. Yes. He brung her there. [9] questions I have. [9] Q. Did he stay while she was [10] THE DEFENDANT: That's it. She [10] [11] getting her hair done? 1111 can be excused. Pretty much, most of the time. THE COURT: You can step down. [12] [12] So when the issue of the age [13] You're excused. [13] Q. [14] came up, Mr. Robins was there? THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. [14] (Witness excused.) No. [15] [15] Α. He wasn't there. THE COURT: Mr. Robins, [16] Q. [16] [17] anything else? No. [17] A. THE DEFENDANT: I'll be taking . Q. So he had left at that point? [18] [18] Yes. He had went to work. [19] the stand in a minute. Can I just speak with [19] Α. So why was Ericka's age even a [20] my lawyer for a second? Q. [20] [21] topic of conversation, then? THE COURT: Okay. [21] Because she asked me how old [22] (Brief pause in the A. [22] [23] proceedings.) [23] was I. THE COURT: Mr. Robins. Okay. And it's only then that [24] [24] Q. [25] you asked her how old she was. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. [25] .. Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct [1] THE COURT: Let's go. It's [3] show time. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. [4] THE COURT: Come right up here. [6] Have a seat on the stand. You'll be sworn in. COURT CRIER: Just remain [8] standing. Please state your name. Spell your [9] last name for us. THE WITNESS: Johnathan Robins. [11] R-O-B-I-N-S. JOHNATHAN ROBINS, after having

[14] follows. . . DIRECT EXAMINATION. [15] THE WITNESS: Okay. When I [17] first met Ericka, I was trying to date people.

[13] been duly sworn, was examined and testified as

[18] I was on the Internet, you know. I actually

[19] contacted some people, some girl in the [20] Philippines. It just didn't feel right. And

[21] just on a humbug I actually happened to pick

[22] up and call the Philadelphia Raven. It's a [23] dating phone line.

When I called, I listened on [25] the phone and there's different rooms and it

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 15

[i] Johnathan Robins - direct

21 was one person that, well, it was a few people 3 that piqued my interest, and it was one young [4] lady that said that, you know, she wanted a [5] family, wanted to get married, and I was at [6] the time when I actually wanted a family and [7] get married myself. And what I did was I [8] tried to talk to her and what happens is she 19) has to ring back. It's like you press on the [10] phone. They have to ring back. And so she [11] rung back. It's a few that actually rang back

[12] to me, but she rung back and we exchanged [13] phone numbers.

After we exchanged phone

[15] numbers and after we actually got off of the [16] Philadelphia Raven, which again is a adult [17] eighteen dating service, -- when you get on [18] it, it tells you the rules are you have to be [19] eighteen - we conversed for weeks over the [20] phone before we ever met. So we basically got [21] to know each other, what we wanted, you know, [22] what we were looking for. And I understood [23] that she was nineteen but, you know, I asked

[24] her, you know, you're nineteen, why do you [25] want a family? Why? That's what she wanted.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 16

[10] whatever.

[1]

[2]

Johnathan Robins - direct

[4] I lived in were actually on drugs or smoked

151 cigarettes or had children of their own. I

[9] they take it out on the next boyfriend or

A lot of females that actually

[3] were closer to my age in the neighborhood that

[6] had no children. And from my experience, a [7] lot of - I know how it sounds - a lot of

[8] females, the way that other men treat them,

[13] We just happened to click. So we met at, we

[15] was a Hometown Buffet. I believe we had

[16] dinner there. We talked. We talked about,

[14] met in Upper Darby, Sixty-Ninth Street. There

[17] again, about marriage, about children and what

[18] we expected, you know, in a relationship. And

I don't know from that period

[19] I was like, again, I was to name the boys, she

[22] of time when we left Hometown Buffet, which

[24] But we talked and when we finished talking on

[23] was, that was like in December, I believe.

125] the phone again, we decided to start going

[20] was to name the girls. And we left.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

And when I met her, we got to [12] know each other. We clicked. Don't know why. Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 18

Page 20

Page 17

Johnathan Robins - direct

[2] together January first, 2007. That's when we 13] were on the phone and we were official, all

[4] right, let's start dating.

So we, again, were just talking

[6] and eventually we started going out. We [7] started going out. We went to my house in

[8] February. And, yes, we did have intercourse.

191 I believed she was nineteen. That's what we [10] talked about. We also at that point in time,

1111 to make more of a commitment, she wanted us to

[12] be in contact with each other so she said we

[13] needed cell phones. I'm like okay. So I got

[14] a cell phone with two-year plan, a Sprint, you [15] know. We both got Fusic, matching Fusic cell

[16] phones. And we constantly, we did constantly

[17] go out.

She wanted to get, again, [18] [19] married and have children. It just so

1201 happened that the children came a little bit

[21] quicker than we had actually planned. We [22] actually thought we were going to have a

[23] relationship and get married first. But she

[24] got pregnant. She stayed with me for like,

25] maybe like, she actually stayed with me most

Carl G Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct

12] of 2007. I did not -- do we have any water?

[3] Can I get some more water? Because my mouth

[4] is getting dry. Is it possible I could get

[5] some water?

THE COURT: Officer, could you

[7] give the witness some water?

THE WITNESS: We happened to go

[9] on vacation, like she said. We went on [10] vacation. The reason why I went on vacation

[11] to Florida is before I ever met her, I had got

[12] a phone call about this plan, this, what is

[13] it? The thing where you sign up for the homes

[14] or the apartments in Florida and you actually

[15] become, I forget exactly what you call it.

[16] You actually pay for the apartment and you

[17] actually -- it's a name for it and I forgot

[18] what it is. You actually pay for the

[19] apartment and that way you can fly back and

[20] forth to Florida and you will always have the

[21] apartment when you get down there and they

[22] would try to sell it to you. They would try

[23] to sell it. So you would get a deal if you at

[24] least allowed them to try to sell you the

[25] apartment.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 19

Johnathan Robins - direct Mhat I did was I paid two

[3] hundred dollars months before I met her, like

|4| almost like a year, more than a year before I

[5] met her, but I never took it up on the offer

[6] of the vacation because I had no one to go

[7] with. And it just so happened when I started

[8] going with her, the service called again and

191 said even though your time had expired, you

[10] have paid us two hundred dollars so we're

[11] going to allow you to reopen it if you still

[12] want to use it. So I said okay, fine.

So we went. We went to

[14] Florida, had a good time. We went for a week

[15] at Universal Studios and Walt Disney. This is

[16] what other couples do. They go on vacation.

After that, I knew that she

[18] actually, I have a girlfriend with a child on

[19] the way. I wanted to basically make more

[20] money. I knew I had to make a better career.

[21] So I started looking for other work, other

[22] work to provide for her and my son. And also,

[23] we knew we were going to get married and we

[24] just had to get around to it, but I wanted to

[25] get married before the child was actually born

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[13]

[9] this, Your Honor.

[8]

[10]

Johnathan Robins - direct

[3] born out of wedlock. That's one thing I

্ৰা definitely did not want. And because I [5] wanted, like, because like this is what we

rapidies where you have children by --

[11] You can continue. You've seen a lot of

[14] would have different fathers and different

[16] didn't have any children, I didn't have any

[18] with us and that's what it was going to be,

[21] oh. Also, I want to introduce again into

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[23] license was actually messed up, tickets in

[24] Jersey. So I told her that, you know, we're

[25] walking, we're catching the bus. So I have a

[19] you know, with us and our children.

[15] mothers. And what I liked about her is she

[17] children, and we wanted us to have a family

When I took a specific job --

[22] evidence the, because my license, my driver's

[12] families, you were explaining.

[6] wanted. We wanted, like, I've seen a lot of

MR. STACKOW: Objection to

THE COURT: Well, overruled.

THE WITNESS: With children who

[2] because I did not want my child to actually be

Page 22

Page 24

## Page 21

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] car in the garage. It's no sense in it

13) sitting there. So you need. I told her. It 14) was my idea. You need to go get your license

[5] so I could put my car in your name so we can

[6] drive, because I didn't want my wife actually

[7] walking when I had a car in the garage.

Can we introduce this into 191 evidence, the application she did for her

[10] driver's license?

THE COURT: Do you have a copy [1:1]

[12] of that already?

THE WITNESS: Well, he saw it. [13]

[14] I don't have it.

MR. STACKOW: If it's the one [15]

[16] that was introduced yesterday.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I never [17]

[18] introduced it.

MR. STACKOW: Yes, I do. [19]

[20] THE COURT: Was it already

[21] marked in evidence?

MR. STACKOW: No, it wasn't.

[23] No objection.

[22]

THE WITNESS: Can you make a [24]

[25] copy and mark it Exhibit A? Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

**4** (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct COURT CRIER: It's marked D-1

[2] [3] Your Honor.

[1]

THE WITNESS: Okay. D-1.

[5] Okay. And it was my idea to get the license,

16) but like I said again, she was nineteen. She

17] went down there and she actually took the test

[8] but didn't pass the test, you know. So on

[9] this paper, she's down here as born in 1986,

[10] July thirtieth, 1986. It has her signature

[11] and it has a signature of the officer inside

[12] the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Okay. Again, back when I was

[14] looking for another job, I found a good job. [15] After going through many different jobs, I

[16] found a real good job wherein which this job,

[17] if you stayed there, followed the rules,

[18] normally within two years you can make like

[19] three figure salary. But it's a very hard [20] job, a lot of turnover, but you can't miss

[21] days, you know. It's selling FiOS, the FiOS

[22] job. You actually go out in rain, snow,

[23] whatever temperature, late into the night, six

[24] days a week. That's what you do and you build

[25] up a team and you eventually branch off into

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Page 23

Johnathan Robins - direct

2 your own office once you have saved up enough [3] money and get enough people on your team.

Before I took the job, I asked

15] her permission. You know, I'm going to be

[6] working six days a week, you know. I'm not

[7] going to be here. And so I took the job and

[8] because in prospects of working for a good

[9] hard two years while she gave birth to the

[10] baby, she stayed home, she take care of it,

[11] and at the end of those two years we will be

[12] making good money, you know. So it's just

[13] that she had to basically accept the fact that

[14] I would not be home while I did this job.

When it came around to January, [15]

116] like I said, we decided to go ahead and get

married. I had went on ahead and ordered the

[18] airline tickets to go get married. The reason

[19] why I decided to get married in Missouri, the

[20] law in Missouri is exactly the same as in the

[21] State of Pennsylvania. The only difference is

[22] you can waive the three-day waiting period.

[23] And this job that I had, you could not take

[24] off days, but I was going to take off one day

[25] and one day only to get married.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Carl Sokolski, O.C.R

Päge 25

Page 27

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 26

Johnathan Robins - direct [1] Now, when I looked, I was ·[2] [3] seeing where could I go for us to have a [4] vacation and just, you know, where she can [5] enjoy this one day, make it special that we're [6] getting married, because I couldn't, you know, [7] take off or have any kind of celebration. [8] Let's go on vacation, get married and come [9] back home. So I went to work one night, [10] [11] came home, got up early the next morning. We [12] went. We got married in Missouri. After we [13] got married we went around, saw all the [14] sights, the arch, you know, stainless steel [15] with a little bit of rust on it. We enjoyed [16] ourselves in St. Louis. The same way we [17] enjoyed ourselves in Florida, we enjoyed [18] ourselves in St. Louis. That's why I actually [19] picked going out there. Now, there was another state [21] that had a no waiting period where I could do [22] it in one day. Well, this state had a no [23] waiting period. Well, I actually wanted a [24] vacation and I might have actually done it [25] here, but it's just that I just needed to only Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] be out one day because I was trying to get a [3] higher or better position in the job. And [4] even she said that one day she wanted, she 15] begged me to stay home but I did not. I mean. [6] it really hurt me to go, to not stay with her [7] but actually go to work, because I wanted to [8] build my team so that we can get more, you [9] know, a better position. But in January, at the end of [11] January, before the baby was born, it was time [12] to do taxes. Since, you know, I got married, [13] let's go ahead and do our taxes. That's when [14] Ericka came out with, you know, you can't put [15] me down on your taxes and this is where Ericka [16] starts her crying, which she does from time to [17] time. I'm like, why? You know, it took a [18] while for me to get it out of her, but she was right not the age she actually was. So it was a [20] long conversation, a long conversation. Why? [21] You know. For what reason? A lot of crying. [22] And she explained that, you know, she really [23] wanted to be away from her mother and away [24] from the situation that she was actually in. [25] Because she used to tell me, you know, that Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

**(215)** 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] her mother abused her and all that. So she [3] did not want to be there and this is what she [4] wanted. I was like, okay, okay, we'll just [5] talk about it. But you know that we have to [6] eventually straighten this out, you know, [7] because there's a problem here, obviously. [8] It's definitely a problem here. So I went back to work and I [10] worked until she gave birth. When she gave [11] birth I was actually, she was home with her [12] mother and she gave birth and I was getting [13] ready for work and she woke me up, like, you [14] know, I'm giving birth. So I went on ahead, [15] got dressed. Instead of going to work I went [16] to the hospital. I went to the hospital and [17] she had the baby in twenty minutes. And, like, our child was born [19] with pneumonia. He's inside the incubator. So [20] she told the nurse because like we wanted to [21] see him, she told the nurse that this is my [22] uncle, like she said before. I said no, no, [23] I'm not the uncle, I'm the actual father. I [24] gave them my ID and was like, you know, [25] because the reason why I'm getting a little Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] teary-eyed, because I remember my son when he [3] was in the incubator. At that point I didn't [4] tell my family he was born because I didn't [5] know if he was going to live. So like I said, I told the [7] people who I was. Ericka didn't want to tell [8] them I was the father. I told them I was the [9] father because I did, I felt I did nothing [10] wrong. And the investigation, like she said, [11] the midwife went on ahead and went back to her [12] mom and started the investigation. They [13] started the investigation because I told them [14] exactly what went on. She stayed with her mother for [15] [16] a little while after that, and that's when I [17] started having to find out and research the [18] law because I wanted to know were we really [19] married or not because, you know, I took an [20] oath and it was important to me. And so I [21] started. There's the Jenkins Law Library. Obviously I had to guit the [23] job, the job that I wanted to stay on for us. [24] I hadn't planned on quitting it but I had to [25] guit it because now I got to take care of the Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

(215) 683-8060

Page 28

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4
March 12, 2010
Page 30

[1] Johnathan Robins - direct
[2] baby and she has to go to school. So after
[3] the baby was born, I quit my job and started
[4] taking care of my son. Then Ericka started
[5] going to school. First she was living with
[6] her mom. Then she moved back with me. That's
[7] when she, that's when Tyra went down there and
[8] picked up her things. She wanted to move
[9] back.
[10] I looked at the law and from
[11] what I could see, we were married. I read

[10] I looked at the law and from
[11] what I could see, we were married. I read
[12] Pennsylvania law. I read Missouri law. I'm
[13] like, okay, fine. But when she moved back, it
[14] was like because her mom was always arguing.
[15] She said she was abusive. But I'm like, look,
[16] you got to go to school. I'll work, I'll take
[17] care of the baby, you got to go to school.
[18] Then she started getting into
[19] because it was like a different mindset. She
[20] started worrying about, like she said before,
[21] like, I acted differently. I didn't realize I
[22] was acting different toward her. But she
[23] would be mad because she said I wouldn't want

[24] to touch her, I wouldn't want to hold her, you

[25] know. I didn't know what. I actually didn't Carl G. Sokolski
Official Court Reporter
(215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] know what to do with her at this point in [3] time, you know. And she said that, you know, [4] I didn't want to hold her, I didn't want to [5] touch her, you know. She said, it was like [6] she was crying, like she was saying like she [7] was dirty or something. I didn't want her to [8] feel that way. So, but after that, that's when [10] she started dating other guys, I guess, [11] because, you know, I wasn't, you know, with [12] her. She stayed there but I wasn't with her, 1131 you know. And at some point in time after she 1141 finished the school year living with me in [15] Philadelphia, because it was a long hike. It [16] was a long hike from Philadelphia to go to [17] Upper Darby for her every day and that's not [18] fair for her. So we came to the conclusion [19] you need to move back with your mom. Oh. One other thing. During [21] this period of time when she was living with [22] me, when she moved back with me, we found out [23] that because, see, I was trying to get care [24] for my son, we found out that her mother was [25] collecting the check. That's when her and I Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Page 31

Page 32

[2] got on the phone and told the caseworker, [3] look, she's staying here with me and we have [4] our son. I did not, like she said, I did [5] 161 not meet her mom until after the baby was [7] born. And once she told me how old she was [8] and when I saw her mother, I'm like, oh, boy, 191 you know. What could I say? I couldn't say [10] too much of anything. But she allowed her [11] daughter to move back but only after we called [12] to get the check cut off is only when her [13] mother showed up at my front door trying to [14] kick it in because she wanted the baby back. Again, past that into the [16] summer, we agreed, Ericka and I, we agreed [17] that it's best, you need to move back in with [18] your mother. I know you and your mother have [19] your problems, but you need to grow up and do [20] you and let me take care of the baby. So she [21] moved back and when she did start the new [22] school year, I would go in the morning,

[23] depending on when I worked. Sometimes I

Carl G. Sokolski

(215) 683-8060

Official Court Reporter

[24] worked late at night and early in the morning. [25] Sometimes I would come straight from work and

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] be there early in the morning to pick the baby [3] up so she could go to school. Then later on [4] that night, afternoon or night, depending on [5] what I was doing or what she was doing, I 161 would drop him off. And this went on for like maybe like three, three months. One of the things, because then [9] when she moved back in with her mom she [10] started to stop going to school again, because [11] when she was with me, when I first met her in [12] 2007, she wasn't going to school and I didn't [13] know she wasn't going to school because she [14] wasn't going to school, and when she moved [15] back with her mom she started getting into the [16] habit again of not going to school. That's [17] when she said, you know, she said I would call [18] her and ask her why you not going to school. And when I came that day and [20] they wouldn't give me my son and they called [21] the cops because they think I'm going to hang [22] out here, the main, one of the main reasons [23] why I stayed out there is because I knew that [24] they were going to call the police, you know, [25] and I just wanted a report that I'm here Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 34

Page 33 Johnathan Robins - direct . [2] picking up my son so that they wouldn't be [3] able to say that, oh, no, he was never taking [4] care of his son. That's one of the main [5] reasons why I wanted to come out. I knew I [6] wasn't going to get him that day because they 17] had him. And again, I knew the issue was [8] going to have to be sorted out anyway [9] regardless, you know. So they came and they said, [11] okay, you got to pick him up some other time. [12] No problem. So I left and then I guess in [13] December of, in December of 2000 -- no. Back [14] up. In November. In November, November [15] eleventh exactly, as again I was taking care [16] of my son, taking him to the health center, [17] giving him all his appointments. They had [18] been trying to get health care to put him on [19] CHIP. They got to the point where they said [20] we're not going to service your son any more [21] at Health Care Center Six until you get health [22] care for him. So we went into the little [23] room, called up Keystone, because they found [24] out that my son was on, the reason why I [25] couldn't get it, because she still had him on Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] Keystone on welfare in Upper Darby and [3] Keystone would not allow me to switch it to [4] Philadelphia so my son could get health care [5] and only her mother, Lucille Freeman, could [6] deal with the health care. So I went on November eleventh [8] down to Welfare in Upper Darby with my son. [9] I'm like, look, I'm the one taking care of the [10] boy. He needs health care. They're like, [11] well, no, she has him. So the next Monday --[12] I'd like to introduce this into evidence - I [13] filed a complaint for custody in Philadelphia. COURT CRIER: It's marked D-2. [15] Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I filed a [16] [17] complaint for custody in Philadelphia court. THE COURT: Just one second, [19] Mr. Robins. Do you have a copy of this? [20] MR. STACKOW: I'm not sure if I [21] do or not. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I gave you [22] [23] a copy. I specifically gave you a copy. COURT CRIER: It's marked D-2, 1251 Your Honor. Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter **(215) 683-8060** 

Johnathan Robins - direct [1] THE WITNESS: Wherein which on [3] this complaint, it was issued wherein which [4] would have to come to court, Lucille Freeman [5] would have to come to court and Ericka Johnson [6] would have to come to court in front of a [7] judge. Again, I knew that the issue of age [8] would have to be dealt with, but my wife lied [9] to me about when she first met me and so I did [10] not think, you know, she would say anything [11] different. I think, I thought that she was [12] going to tell them, look, I lied about it to [13] him and, you know, he found out later. That's [14] what I thought. I mean, I'm the one that [15] actually first set up a date for all of us to [16] be in court in front of a judge over this [17] issue, you know, and I did not think that my [18] wife would say anything different. That's why [19] I filed it. The date that we were supposed [21] to be in court on February twenty-fifth, I [22] never made the date because obviously my wife [23] had me arrested and neither one of the, the [24] order inside the case was dismissed because [25] nobody showed up. They didn't show up. I Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 36 Johnathan Robins - direct [2] obviously couldn't show up. So the case for [3] custody was just-dismissed. [4] Like I said before, I never ran [5] from the issue. I never told anybody anything [6] different, you know. I always told everybody [7] what my age was and who my wife was and who my [8] son was, you know. I went to court to try to [9] bring us into court to resolve the issue in [10] Family Court about the issue about him, [11] because I did not think my wife would say the [12] things she said inside this case when I [13] decided to file this custody case to bring us [14] all in front of a judge. [15] Again, I also have a letter -[16] I don't know what this exhibit, I guess D-3 --[17] to show that because a worker inside Health [18] Care Center Six, he wrote up a letter. MR. STACKOW: Objection to [19] [20] this, Your Honor. [21] THE COURT: What's the basis of [22] the objection? MR. STACKOW: The letter is not [23] [24] in evidence at this point. He shouldn't be [25] allowed to read from it. Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 38

Page 40

Johnathan Robins - direct [1] THE COURT: All right. Well, [2] [3] do you want to take the letter and show it to [4] the DA? MR. STACKOW: Thank you, Your [5] [6] Honor. THE COURT: Do you have a copy [7][8] of this already? MR. STACKOW: I don't believe [9] [10] so, Your Honor. COURT CRIER: Marking it D-3, [11] [12] right? THE WITNESS: Yes. [13] COURT CRIER: Showing it to the [14] [15] Commonwealth. MR. STACKOW: I'd just ask for [17] a copy, if I could be provided with a copy of [18] that at the appropriate time. It doesn't need [19] to be right now.

THE COURT: That means whenever

[23]

[24]

Page 37

[2] Mr. Robins. THE WITNESS: The letter [4] basically says that this letter is to verify [5] that Johnathan Robins, Sr., has been seeking [6] medical attention for his son, Johanathan [7] Robins, Jr., at Philadelphia Department of [8] Public Health Center Six since his birth, you [9] know, and the letter is dated December [10] thirtieth, 2008. I was going to use that letter [12] in the custody case to show that I was the one [13] who actually had him. And I would have never, [14] like, whatever Welfare, whatever they get [15] didn't bother me. It's just the fact that I [16] couldn't get health care for my son when I had [17] him is why I actually took this route of [18] the -THE COURT: Mr. Robins. [19] THE WITNESS: Yes. [20] [21] THE COURT: Can I just remind [22] you what we talked about yesterday?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Carl G. Sokolski

(215) 683-8060

Official Court Reporter

THE COURT: And that is that

[25] you can introduce anything you want, as long

Johnathan Robins - direct

[24] shown to the witness.
[25] THE COURT: You can continue,
Carl G. Sokolski
Official Court Reporter
(215) 683-8060

MR. STACKOW: Right.
COURT CRIER: D-3 has been

[21] you get a chance.

[22]

[23]

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] as it's relevant. THE WITNESS: Okay. [3] THE COURT: The fact that you [5] wanted a son, that you named your son after 16) you, that you wanted to care for your son, all [7] of that is irrelevant to the charges here. [8] What you're charged with is getting that son 19) by impregnating a fourteen year old and there [10] are five offenses here. They all have to do [11] with her age, the fact that she was under [12] eighteen for some of these crimes, under [13] sixteen for some of these crimes. It is a [14] defense if you had a mistake as to her age. [15] But those are the only issues that are really [16] relevant to these charges. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. [17] THE COURT: So the jury is not [19] going to be asked at the end of the trial to [20] decide whether you're a good person or a bad [21] person, whether you're a good father or a bad [22] father. None of that has anything to do with [23] this case. They're going to be asked to find [24] facts as to your age, her age, whether you had [25] intercourse, whether you had sexual acts with Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Johnathan Robins - direct 2) her at a time when she was under a certain [3] age. That's what this case is all about. THE WITNESS: Okay. [4] THE COURT: So you're going to [5] 16) have to confine your testimony to relevant matters. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. [8] THE COURT: Anything you want [9] [10] to tell the jury is fine, but it has to be [11] relevant to these charges. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. [12] THE COURT: All right. [13] THE WITNESS: Also, back again [14] [15] to 2007 when I first met Ericka and I wanted [16] her to get to know my family and friends, you [17] know. This is my new girlfriend. So we went [18] to my sister's wedding reception in Atlantic 1191 City so that she can meet everyone. She told [20] everyone at the reception, well, the people, [21] the few people that I heard her speak with, [22] that she was nineteen. People are going to [23] ask. You know, Ericka said that, you know, [24] people did ask. Look, my mom, my dad, my [25] sister, you know. My sister flew all the way Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 42

Page 44

Page 41 Johnathan Robins - direct [2] from Paris to have the reception. She going [3] to ask, like, who are you and how old you are, [4] you know. Ericka told her she was nineteen, [5] you know. THE COURT: Well, why would 17) those people ask her that? Are they asking 18) her that because they're looking at her and [9] she looks like she's fourteen years old and [10] they're trying to figure out what she's doing [11] at the wedding with you? I mean, why are they [12] asking her what her age is? THE WITNESS: Well, because my [14] sister asked, she looked kind of young. My [15] sister even said that. I'm not even going to [16] say. My sister said -- well, that's hearsay. THE COURT: Well, did she look [17] [18] young or not? [19] THE WITNESS: Yeah. She looks [20] how she looks now. She does. THE COURT: When she was [21] [22] fourteen did she look like she was fourteen? THE WITNESS: No, not really, [24] because I know a lot of people that looks. [25] She looks how she looks now. She looks, like Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] a lot of people, like when the officer -THE COURT: So in three years [4] she hasn't gotten any older looking. THE WITNESS: No. She looks [5] [6] the same. THE COURT: All right, [7] THE WITNESS: Because like when 181 [9] the officer came up and to arrest me on [10] February, he didn't know how old she was until [11] she told him. So the same way with a lot of [12] jobs that she gets, you know. She tells them [13] that she's an older age and that's how she got [14] the job at Dynamite Hair or whatever, you [15] know. But we were introduced to [16] [17] everybody because, you know, your friends and [18] family is supposed to see if there's a problem [19] with your girlfriend or your prospective mate. [20] Nobody, nobody, no red flags went up anywhere [21] with anybody, you know. So it was like she [22] got the approval. Everybody was happy with [23] Ericka and everybody accepted her at nineteen [24] in the family, you know. So, you know, that's [25] why I really didn't, you know. Like I said, Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] the family, like, they accepted it. I [3] accepted it. But later on, I eventually had [4] to tell my sister what her age was because [5] when I got locked up, my mother was sick and I [6] had to tell my sister, look, I can't care for [7] mom any more because, like I said, my sister [8] lives in Paris and I had to tell my sister how [9] old she was in February of 2009. And after she had told me what [11] her age was in 2008, it was like I didn't, it [12] was hard for me to tell people, you know. I [13] told Ericka: You told these people how old [14] you were, you made the mess, you clean it up, [15] you know. You tell these people, you know. I [16] was embarrassed, I guess. I was embarrassed. [17] And I just, I didn't offer it. Like Tyra [18] said, she didn't know how old she was. I [19] didn't offer it because it's embarrassing. [20] But I knew that I would have to explain myself [21] in a court of law. That's, you know, [22] eventually. That's why we're here. That's [23] exactly why we're here. Again, I would like to put this [25] into evidence. This is D-4. He has a copy of Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

(215) 683-8060

COURT CRIER: It's marked D-4, THE WITNESS: Is it possible [6] that the jury could see a picture in here or [7] what she portrayed her age as? Because this [8] is a page off of her MySpace Website showing 19) that she's on it for dating and showing that [10] she's announcing that she's nineteen and it [11] has the date of 2009. Even after all this, [12] she's still putting herself out there as a [13] nineteen year old --[14] THE COURT: May I see it? [15] THE WITNESS: - female. THE COURT: Do you have a copy [16] [17] of this? MR. STACKOW: Yes, Your Honor. [18] THE COURT: Well, at the bottom [20] of the page, this was printed off of a [21] computer on 8/15/2009. Is that what you're [22] referring to? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. [23] THE COURT: Okay. Do you have [24] [25] any objection to that? Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

(215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct

51CR00034302009
Johnathan Robins

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 46

Page 48

Johnathan Robins - direct Johnathan Robins - direct [1] [2] the baby and we got married, now she still is. MR. STACKOW: No. [2] [3] And after I'm locked up, you know, her page THE WITNESS: Can I just hand [3] [4] still, you know, says that she's nineteen even [4] it to them? 151 after all this mess. THE COURT: Do you want to show THE COURT: Well, didn't you [6] it to the jury? [7] say that when she went down to get her license THE WITNESS: Yes. [7] THE COURT: Mr. DeFino? You [8] she gave her birth date as 1986? THE WITNESS: Yeah. [9] can give it to juror number one and let him [10] pass it down. You want the jury to see what, [10] THE COURT: Well, if she gave [11] Mr. Robins? [11] her birth date as 1986 and she did that in [12] what, 2007? 2008? THE WITNESS: The fact that [12] [13] THE WITNESS: Yeah, but see --[13] Ericka again, you know. THE COURT: She was [14] THE COURT: At the top of the [15] page there's a photograph and it has her age [15] misrepresenting that she was twenty-one, [16] twenty-two, right? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. [17] [17] THE COURT: Well, the document THE COURT: Okay. [18] [18] [19] speaks for itself. Her testimony was that a THE WITNESS: And that's like [19] [20] lot of people have her password and she hasn't [20] the favorite age she wants to tell everybody. [21] put anything on that in a long time. [21] She's been telling everybody she's nineteen. THE WITNESS: But she also has [22] Obviously, she had to say that she was twenty [23] pictures of the new guy that's her boyfriend [23] when her birthday came around. But that's 24 and it's listed on the back page. There's a [24] what, you know. Even after we, you know, had . [25] the baby and she then lied to me and we had [25] picture of her, quote unquote, her husband, a Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter **(215)** 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - direct [2] different guy. So she had to put that on [3] there. I actually met the guy that's on the [4] back page, if you flip back. I actually met [5] him because I wanted to find out where in the [6] world you got my son at, you know. (Evidence circulated among the [7] [8] jurors.) THE COURT: You know, if your [9] [10] back becomes a problem just let us know and [11] we'll let you take a break. Okay? A JUROR: Okay. I have a [12] [13] pillow. THE COURT: Okay. [14] COURT CRIER: D-4 has been [15] [16] published to the jury, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Robins, what [17] [18] else? THE WITNESS: Okay. It's not [19] [20] too much else, I mean. The facts are what [21] they are. The only issue is, you know, does [22] she put herself out there as a different age [23] when she meets people? You know. That's about the end of my [25] testimony. I'm going to let him. If you have Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Page 47 Johnathan Robins - cross [2] any questions for me, then you go ahead and [3] just ask me whatever you need to ask me. [4] THE COURT: Any cross [5] examination? MR. STACKOW: Yes. May I? [6] THE COURT: Sure. [7] CROSS EXAMINATION [9] BY MR. STACKOW: Did she get a driver's license [11] or learner's permit when she went down in June [12] of 2007? It was stamped. The lady [13] [14] signed her name. But she didn't pass the [15] test. [16] So she never got. Were you [17] there for that? [18] A. You actually went down with [19] Q. [20] her; is that right? Yes, I did. [21] A. And Ericka, this was for a [23] learner's permit; is that right? [24] A. Not a driver's license, just a [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 49 Page 50 Johnathan Robins - cross Johnathan Robins - cross [1] [2] learner's permit. [2] that right? A. I guess, yeah. If you get a [3] No. [3] [4] learner's permit, then a driver's license. . Q. You didn't go to Broad and [4] [5] yeah. [5] Spring Garden to the check cashing place --Q. Well, --No. Maybe one of the other [6] A. A. Yes. [7] guys --[7] Simple question. Learner's Q. Excuse me. Let me finish my [8] Q. [8] [9] permit is what she went -[9] question first. You didn't go to Broad and [10] Spring Garden to the check cashing place to A. Yes. [10] - what this is an application [11] get this fake photo ID for Ericka? [11] Q. [12] for; is that right? No. [12] A. It's a Class C autmotive, yeah, Q. So when she went down to get [13] A. [13] [14] learner's permit. Absolutely. [14] her learner's permit in June of 2007, you were [15] Q. And she never got the learner's [15] aware that she was using that identification [16] as proof of her age; isn't that right? [16] permit. [17] Α. No. I can't remember exactly what That's your testimony, right? [18] identification she pulled out with it, to be [18] Q. That's correct. [19] honest with you. I know that you have to [19] A. You in fact were aware of this 1201 have. I do remember she had her Social [20] [21] photo identification that she had from South [21] Security card. In fact, whatever other [22] Carolina, correct? [22] identification I actually don't remember [23] A. Yes. [23] because, you know, she approached the lady. [24] Q. That's because you went with [24] But I do remember she had to look for her [25] her to get this photo identification; isn't [25] Social Security card. As far as if she used a Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 **(215)** 683-8060

[1] Johnathan Robins - cross
[2] actual Carolina ID, I do not recall.
[3] Q. This was after your sister's
[4] wedding reception, correct, when she went down[5] to get her learner's permit?
[6] A. Yes.
[7] Q. And it was at that point, as
[8] you said, that your sister and other family
[9] members had been asking Ericka how old she
[10] was?
[11] A. Yes.
[12] Q. And you knew that when she went

[13] down to get her learner's permit she produced
[14] some photo ID; is that right?
[15] A. I don't know if it was. I know

[15] A. I don't know if it was. I know [16] that she produced a Social Security card. I [17] don't know if she produced that photo ID. I [18] can't remember.

[19] **Q.** Did you ask her to see whatever [20] she produced to get her learner's permit so [21] you could see how old she was?

[22] A. No, not really, because I -[23] Q. It's a simple question,

[24] Mr. Robins. Did you ask her at that time to [25] see her identification that she was using to

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 Page 51
[1] Johnathan Robins - cross
[2] try to get this learner's permit?

**A.** . No. 44

[4] Q Did you know she was using a [5] South Carolina identification?

[6] A. Yes, at some point, because [7] obviously we got married with it.

[8] Q. And as His Honor said, that has [9] a date of birth of July the thirtieth, 1986, [10] correct?

[11] A. As Your Honor said? [12] Q. The judge, Judge Cunningham,

[12] Q. The judge, Judge Cunningham, [13] the person whose courtroom you're in. He [14] asked you a few minutes ago, isn't it true [15] that this identification has a date of birth

[16] of July thirtieth, 1986?

[17] A. Which? I mean, which

[18] identification? We haven't -

[19] Q. Was there more than the south

[20] Carolina one?

[21] A. The paperwork has the

[22] identification, has the birth date on it. But

[23] the identification, we don't have that unless

[24] you do, unless Ericka gave it to you. It

[25] hasn't been produced.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Carl Sokolski, O.C.R

Page 52

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 54

Page 56

Johnathan Robins - cross [1] Well, you've seen it when you [2]

[3] got married, right? That's your testimony?

j4]

That was a South Carolina photo [5]

[6] identification, correct?

A. Yes. [7]

An it had the birth date of Q. [8]

[9] July thirtieth, 1986, correct?

Yes. Yes. I believe it did, A. [10]

[11] yes.

So at the time that you met, [12]

[13] that would have put her at twenty years of

[14] age; isn't that right? On January first of

[15] 2007, if that was her correct date of birth, [16] she would have been twenty years of age; isn't

[17] that right?

At January first, 2007, it A.

[19] would make her twenty? That's what you're

[20] asking me?

[21] Q. Yes.

I would actually have to do the [22] A.

[23] math, I'm going to be honest with you.

All right. Now, let me ask you [25] some questions here. You said that you had

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Page 53

Johnathan Robins - cross

[2] sexual intercourse with Ericka?

Yes. [3]

In March of 2007? Q. [4]

A. Yes. [5]

That was vaginal sexual [6]

[7] intercourse; is that correct?

Yes. 181 A.

You also at some point had oral [9]

[10] sex with Ericka; isn't that right?

A. Yes. [11]

Q. Where you placed your penis [12]

[13] inside of her mouth, correct?

Well, that was, she wanted to

[15] try a bunch of things. That was the only time

[16] we actually did that. It was more of the [17] other way around.

She told you that she wanted to Q. [19] try a bunch of things? Is that what she said

[20] to you?

Well, she didn't. Yeah. Yeah.

[22] She actually, how can I put it? I can't [23] remember the exact details, you know. I can't

1241 remember the exact details. But she was

125) actually, she wanted to have a baby. So we

Carl G. Sokolski
Official Court Reporter

**(215) 683-8060** 

Johnathan Robins - cross

[2] actually were trying to have a baby. I didn't

[3] think it was coming that quick. She didn't

[4] think. But we were actually, so it's not like

[5] I remember every single detail.

Is it your testimony to this

[7] jury that she said that she wanted to try a

[8] lot of different things in the context of

[9] having a baby?

A. [10]

Q, Is that your testimony? [11]

[12] A. No.

So my question to you is, is it [13] Q.

[14] true or not that Ericka said to you that -

[15] that's your testimony -- that she wanted to

[16] try a lot of things, meaning sexual things?

No. She didn't exactly say A. [17]

[18] that. What I'm saying is I knew she always

[19] wanted to try different things. She wanted us

[20] to wash up in the tub together. She wanted us

[21] to try different positions. She didn't come

[22] out and just say, you know, let's try

[23] different things.

Your date of birth is March [24]Q.

[25] twenty-ninth, 1966, correct?

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Page 55

Johnathan Robins - cross A. Correct.

You now know Ericka's date of [3] Q Č

4) birth to be July thirtieth, 1992, correct?

A. Correct.

[5] And it's your testimony that in [6]

[7] April of 2008 Ericka told you her correct age;

[8] is that right?

A. January. January. [9]

Q. January of 2008? [10]

A. Just before the baby was born. [11]

All right. And that she told [12] Q.

[13] you she was in fact fifteen, correct?

A. [14]

And then John-John was born [15] Q.

[16] about a month later; is that right?

A. Less than a month later. [17]

And at some point you and [18] Q.

[19] Ericka lived together with your child; is that [20] right?

That is correct. [21] A.

And did you have sexual

[23] intercourse with her after you and she lived

Once, twice we tried it, but it

[24] together after John-John was born?

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[25]

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 57 Page 58 Johnathan Robins - cross Johnathan Robins - cross [1] [2] was just, that was later on, like in what? Yes. [2] A. [3] You know. But it just, you know. It just Do you have that in front of Q. [3] [4] wasn't. [4] you? Q. When was that? Yes, I do. [5] [5] A. A. Maybe like April. I'm going to That was dated November of [6] [6] [7] be honest with you. Like, when was it? [7] 2008, correct? April of 2008 you had sexual That is correct. [8] [8] A. [9] intercourse with Ericka? [9] Q. That you filed this, correct? Yeah, because I believed she Yes, but the lady --[10] Α. [11] was my wife now, because I read the law on it You gave the information? [11] Yeah, and I know exactiv what [12] and she --A. [12] [13] you getting ready to say. The lady did not [13] Q. That's not my question. Yes. Yes. [14] know. I told her we were married but she put [14] A. [15] down unmarried on it because she did not My question is the timing of [15] [16] when you had the sexual intercourse with her. [16] realize that I could be married to her. I [17] know exactly what you're talking about. [17] A. At some point did you realize Let me ask you some questions [18] O. [18] [19] that Ericka was not in fact legally your wife? [19] so the jury is very clear about what we're She is legally my wife. [20] talking about. Okay? [20] A. She is. [21] Q. [21] A. Okay. [22] A. Yes. [22] Q. This is a document, a court [23] filed document, correct? 1231 Q. Let me ask you to take a look [24] at this complaint for custody that you had [24] That is correct. Α. [25] marked as an exhibit. And actually there's some pages Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross [2] missing in the copy that you brought to court [3] with you; isn't that right? A. Yes. [4] But the original is something [6] that you would have had to have signed; isn't [7] that correct? A. That is correct. [8] And you're asked to sign it [9] [10] after reviewing it to make sure everything's [11] correct; isn't that right?

[12] A. Well, she refused – yes. But [13] the lady refused to put down that we were [14] married. The lady actually refused and I just [15] went on ahead and signed it.

[15] went on anead and signed it.

[16] Q. Well, there's actually no [17] section there to say whether you're married or

[17] section there to say whether you're married or [18] not; isn't that right?

[19] A. Well, it says baby born out of [20] wedlock.

[21] Q. Right. And it says that the

[22] baby in fact was born out of wedlock; isn't

[23] that right?

[24] A. Well, that's what this document

[25] says, yes.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 Page 59

Johnathan Robins - cross
Did you cross that out?

A. I didn't cross it out.

[4] Q. Did you refuse to sign that

[5] document?

A. I did not refuse to sign it.

[7] Q. In fact you did sign it; is

[8] that right?

[9] A. Because I wanted to go to

[10] court.

[6]

[11] Q. Because you wanted custody of

[12] your baby.

[13] A. Because I wanted -

[14] Q. Because you wanted your son.

[15] A. Yes

[16] Q. Now, it's your testimony to

[17] this jury that you actually researched the

[18] law?

[19] **A.** Yes.

[20] Q. Of marriage in Missouri and

[21] Pennsylvania and other states?

22] A. Absolutely.

Q. And you must have realized then

[24] that a person can't be married in Pennsylvania

[25] unless they're sixteen years of age; isn't

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 [1]

51CR00034302009

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 62

Page 64

Johnathan Robins

Johnathan Robins - cross [1] [2] that right? No, that is not correct. [3] A. You didn't realize that. [4] O. A. Here, you can look at it [5] [6] yourself. In fact, --Let me ask you this, Mr. [8] Robins. Didn't you realize that in your 19) research that Missouri allows marriages for [10] persons fifteen years of age with some sort of [11] court order?

No. In actuality I --[12] Α.

Did you realize that as well? Q. [13] No. In actuality, because I [14] A.

[15] actually researched the law on that, with [16] parental consent, with a court order fifteen. [17] And okay. No records shall be authorized.

[18] Under fifteen. However, such license may be [19] issued. Okay. Yeah, you are correct, with a 1201 court order.

Q. In Pennsylvania, you actually [21] [22] have to be sixteen with a court order. Did [23] you know that?

Yeah, but we didn't get a court A. [25] order.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 61

Johnathan Robins - cross

That's because she was only Q. [2] [3] fifteen in January of 2008; isn't that right?

That is correct. [4]

And you knew that, in fact,

[5] [6] didn't you?

[7] A. In when?

Before you went to Missouri. [8] Q.

[9] A.

Q. It's your testimony under oath [10]

[11] that you didn't know when you flew to Missouri

[12] with Ericka Johnson that she was only fifteen [13] years of age?

That is my testimony. [14] A.

All right. So let's talk about [15]

[16] this trip to Missouri. You said you saw the [17] arch?

Yeah. [18]

What city was that in? [19] Q.

[20] A. St. Louis.

All right. So why did you go [21] Q.

[22] to St. Louis in Missouri?

Well, because the plane tickets [23] A. [24] were cheaper at that time. So that was part [25] of the reason, you know, the flight tickets.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter ··· (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross

[2] Q. All right.

And Missouri was a good place. [3] Α.

Of course, you would have had [4] 15) to apply for a marriage license ahead of time;

[6] isn't that right?

No. You can walk in. That's [7]

[8] why. Because, like I said, I only needed, I

[9] could only take off one day. I only wanted to

[10] take off one day. In Missouri, you walk in,

[11] you apply for the license. Then you walk a

[12] block away and get married. No waiting period

[13] at all.

[1]

So it's your testimony that [14]

[15] that's why you picked Missouri.

One of the main reasons. A. [16] The other reason being the

[17] [18] court order requirement; isn't that right?

No, because the court order [19]

[20] requirement is irrelevant because there was no

[21] court order.

Now, let me ask you. [22]

Do you want a copy of Missouri [23] [24] and Pennsylvania law here? Here it is right

[25] here.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 63

Johnathan Robins - cross

Q His Honor will instruct the

[3] jury on the law at the end. Thank you,

[4] though.

You printed this MySpace page [5]

[6] out; is that right?

Yes, I did. [7] A.

So you actually were able to Q. [8]

[9] access it enough to print this out?

Well, you don't need a

[11] password, if you can look. Only if somebody's

[12] profile is private would I not be able to get

[13] all that. Her profile was not private.

So her profile is not private. [15] You were able to go on there and print all

[16] this stuff out; is that right? [17]

That is correct. A.

Was that the way that you

[19] learned that she was saying that she had

[20] another husband?

Yeah. She got some dude in [21]

[22] there and she didn't even spell it right. She

[23] left the B out.

Well, never mind. You knew

[25] that Ericka was going to school or registered

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

#### Page 65 Page 66 Johnathan Robins - cross Johnathan Robins - cross [1] [2] to go to school at this time; is that right? Q. So you didn't take her out [2] [3] clothes shopping? At which? Now I know, yes. [3] I frequently took her out In March of 2007. A. [4] Q. [4] is clothes shopping for all types of clothes. A. [5] 16] Like she said, I let her pick what she wanted. You didn't know that, that she Q. [6] [7] was registered to go to school at all? 17) And did I notice a whole bunch of school 181 clothes? No. . A. [8] Well, she was picking out khaki What was she doing during the [9] Q. [9] [10] pants, right? [10] day? She may have picked out [11] A. [11] A. [12] sometimes a khaki pants, but she mainly Home with you or home with her [12] Q. [13] dressed in jeans. She would wear jeans all [13] mom? [14] the time, a lot of times. Her main focus was Yeah. See, a lot of times --[14] A. [15] buying a lot of jeans. My question is simple. [15] Q. She picked out a lot of plain Q. Yes. [16] [16] A. [17] colored collared shirts too? When you said home, are you [17] Q. No. [18] referring to your home or to your mom's home? [18] A. Do you ever remember buying any Yes. When she was living with [19] Q. A. [20] of those kinds of clothes? [20] me, she was home. Not offhand, but I must say I'm Okay. So she did, however, [21] [22] pretty sure she did. But I have khaki pants [22] tell you that she needed some clothes for [23] too and I have polo shirts too, so. [23] school around that time of March of 2007; [24] **Q.** And you're a forty-four year [24] isn't that right? [25] old man. No, that is not correct. . Carl G. Sokolski [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter **(215) 683-8060** (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross [1] Yeah. Α. [2] Q. Now, when you did learn that [3] [4] Ericka was, according to you, that when you 15] learned that she was going to school, you knew [6] that she was going to school in upper Darby, [7] correct? Yes, I found out after we had A. [8] [9] our conversation, not that conversation, [10] because later on we had to get into the [11] details of everything. We had to set up when [12] she's going to start going back and after she [13] had the baby, she needed to go back, which was [14] like a week or so later, like, you know, you [15] got to go back to school. And it's your testimony that [17] that's the first time you realized she was [18] registered to go to school? **[19]** A. That is correct. Okay. [20] Q. And I also asked her, like, she [21]

[25] school in 2007. Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[22] also said that her mother - that's why I

[23] asked her mother about a fine -- she said her

[24] mother received a fine for her not going to

Page 68

Johnathan Robins - cross

Q Now, this is a person, Ericka,

[3] that when you first met her, you had discussed [4] marriage?

[5]

Yes. A.

You had discussed having kids? [6]

Yeah, because I did not want [8] what. Like, on those lines, a lot of people

[9] on the lines for flings and stuff like that.

[10] That's why a lot of the other people didn't

[11] really interest me. I just picked up on this

[12] rarely that I was on it. But to find someone

[13] that was actually interested in a

[14] relationship, you know. Because that's why

[15] she said she left a lot of her other

[16] boyfriends for, because they did not want the

[17] commitment of it. So that was the main reason

[18] that I was attracted to her. She wanted a

[19] commitment, which a lot of the other females

[20] did not want.

So from March of 2007 to, well, [22] even from January of 2007 to March of 2007 or

[23] even June of 2007, did Ericka live with you at

[24] your house on North Eighth Street in

[25] Philadelphia?

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 70

Page 69 Johnathan Robins - cross [1] Johnathan Robins - cross From January of 2007? [2] A. [2] go back home to her mother. Q. Yes. Now, did you ever say, hey, [3] She didn't live with me because [4] Α. [4] I'll go over to your mom's house and talk to [5] that's when we started dating. It took a [5] her or see what's going on, see if I could [6] while for her to actually move in. [6] help out? When did she live with you. If you want me to explain [7] [8] move in with you? [8] exactly how she told me her mother was, I did July. Probably somewhere [9] not want to deal with such a person. [9] [10] around in June, somewhere in that [10] Q. But this was going to be the [11] neighborhood. [11] grandmother of your children, correct? June of 2007. [12] Q. One of the things that I [12] A. [13] A. Yes. [13] actually wanted too was is that if I was to Q. Did she ever stay overnight at [14] have, how can I say it, a fiancee and a wife, [14] [15] your house prior to that, moving in? [15] I did not necessarily want to marry the whole [16] family on the other side, because I would keep [16] Δ. Did you ever ask her what she [17] [17] my family out of our business. And the fact [18] was doing or where she was going when she [18] that she really didn't like her mother at the [19] wasn't, when you guys weren't together? [19] time, you know, that it would just be us, not Yes. She said she would, when [20] me, her and everybody else. That's, you know. [20] [21] before she moved in, she was going back to [21] I didn't, you know. [22] live with her mother because she didn't want But you wanted to make sure [22] Q. [23] to live with her any more because she was [23] Ericka wasn't like the girls in your [24] saying, like, her mother was abusive and [24] neighborhood in Philadelphia; isn't that [25] everything. So before she moved in, she would 1251 riaht? Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski

Page 72

Official Court Reporter

**(215)** 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross [1] What do you mean? Well, we [3] spoke. And one of the things when we spoke [4] about when we first started talking was trust. [5] So, you know, I mean, it just didn't dawn on [6] me that all this was going to happen when I [7] first met her. It just didn't, you know. It [8] just didn't. This did not dawn on me, trust [9] me on that. Q. My question is, you didn't want

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[10] [11] Ericka to be like the girls that you described [12] earlier in your neighborhood in Philadelphia; [13] is that right?

A. One of the things, like, when I [14] [15] first met her, she did smoke, drink and she [16] did do a little weed, but she said she wanted [17] to stop. So she said it was like if I can [18] encourage her, which she did stop smoking [19] cigarettes and weed and alcohol, which she [20] didn't do like a whole bunch or whatever. But [21] when she did smoke a little bit, she had to [22] smoke outside because I don't allow smoking in [23] the house. So, you know, the fact that she [24] wanted to give up some of the things like the [25] smoking and all, which, like, a lot of other

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[4] Johnathan Robins - cross 21 women smoke and I can't really deal with [3] cigarette smoke, you know, that's why she had [4] to smoke outside. So it's a lot. I mean, we clicked. It's hard [6] to explain, but it's like everybody said. We [7] just looked so good together. If you were to [8] see us before all this, I know it sounds real [9] weird, but we actually were two people that [10] just seemed, like everybody said, we seemed [11] like we belonged together, my family, my

[13] like you all --When they would say those [14] Q. [15] things, was that before or after they asked [16] you how old she was or at the same time?

[12] friends. They were like dag, it just seems

Well, I guess before or after. [17] Α. [18]

So it wasn't just --Q.

I said before or after. I [19]

[20] really can't sit here and say honestly that. [21] like, somebody asked her age, then said you

[22] all look good together or something, because [23] they knew she was younger. You could see

[24] she's younger. But even though when she told [25] them that she was nineteen, you know, people

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 76

Page 73

Johnathan Robins - cross [2] are like, you know, you're a good guy, you [3] know. A lot of people in our neighborhood [4] realized that the people that I deal with know is that a lot of guys that's more around her age 161 will just sleep with her and leave her and, 17) you know, and they felt that I was a good guy, [8] that I would take care of her.

Did your ever meet any of her [10] family prior to going to Missouri?

[11]

You never met in fact anybody [12] · Q. [13] related to her prior to then; is that right?

We tried to have. I didn't [14] [15] meet any of her family but we tried to have [16] her sister come to the baby shower that my [17] sister had flew in from Paris to give at [18] somebody's house in Center City. But she gave [19] the excuse that her -- you know what? Yeah, I [20] did meet a family member of hers. It wasn't 1211 an adult family. It was some. She had [22] brought over her nieces and nephews. That's [23] all. Little kids. That's the only technical

124] thing. I actually met her nieces and nephews. [25] But the mother of the nieces and nephews !

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross [2] never actually met. We were trying to have [3] her come to the baby shower but Ericka said, [4] you know, she's on drugs, she acts up, so, you 151 know, it's not good for her to even be there. Did you ever ask her what her [7] connection to South Carolina was or if she had [8] any family in South Carolina? No. In actuality, I asked her [10] about South Carolina and she said she had [11] moved down there for a brief bit with like her

[12] dad, then just came back up. Now her dad [13] lives out in Harrisburg. And that's about all [14] she really spoke about it.

[15] Let me just ask you some [16] questions about Tyra Felder. That was the [17] person that you had known since she was about [18] four years of age; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. And she would do hair for [20] [21] girls; is that right?

Yeah. Because, see, Tyra and [22] [23] her both do hair. That's my wife does hair [24] and Tyra does hair.

[25] **Q**. And you paid to have Tyra do Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross

[2] Ericka's hair; is that right?

Yeah, because I got tired of [4] paying a hundred something dollars to all [5] these other shops. I'm like, look, go to [6] Tyra, Tyra owes me some money, let Tyra do [7] your hair.

Let me ask you about the [8] Q. [9] February of 2008 at the hospital. Were you [10] there when you heard Ericka say that you were [11] in fact her uncle and not the father of the [12] child?

Because when I went to, when I [14] went looking for her, she had the baby so [15] fast, she never made it into the delivery [16] room. She was in the pre room. So that's [17] where I met her. She was already dressed and [18] everything. Because like I said, she had the [19] baby in twenty minutes, just dropped him right [20] out. And then she said we have to go down and [21] see our son. So when we went down, I guess we [22] saw some nurses or whatever and she was [23] telling the nurses, you know, that this is my 124] uncle. And I told them no, I'm the father of [25] the child.

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[191

Johnathan Robins - cross

[2] Q. The nurses were directing these [3] conversations to Ericka or these questions to [4] Ericka; is that right?

Yes. And Ericka lied to them [6] and I set them straight, I'm the father of the [7] child.

And you were right there at the [8] Q. [9] time?

A. I was right there. [10]

It was your testimony that you [11] Q. [12] and Ericka actually went to the hospital [13] together?

[14]. A. No. She was giving birth. I [15] woke up. I was going to work. I was going to [16] work. In actuality, we actually had to do [17] some training at the Verizon building down [18] there at Eighteenth and Arch. But when she [19] called me, she was like -- I just woke up. [20] She was like, I'm going into labor, I'm going [21] into labor. I'm like all right. I got [22] dressed to go take the baby, take the car seat [23] to the hospital, and by the time I got dressed [24] she called me back, the baby's here. I'm

[25] like, what? So I went down there with the car Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

·51CR00034302009

Johnathan Robins

A.

[20]

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 78

Page 80

Page 77

Johnathan Robins - redirect [2] and ready to go. So when I went up to the [3] room, it was just her in that room. It was [4] just her in the room. And we went down to go [5] see my son.

Q. And you without any hospital [6] [7] staff or any assistance, you and Ericka just [8] went down to see your son? That's your [9] testimony?

Yes, I believe. There was no [10] A. [11] staff with us. The staff was at the room with [12] the, because they're at the room with the [13] babies in the incubators.

MR. STACKOW: That's all the [14] [15] guestions I have. Thank you, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION. [16]

THE WITNESS: Okay. Since she [17] [18] brought up the issue of marriage, as you know,

[19] with statutory rape, it says specifically in

[20] the law that it is statutory rape unless the [21] complainant is married. It does specifically

[22] recognize that a person can be married at

[23] fourteen in the State of Pennsylvania, because [24] if you read specifically in the statutory rape

[25] charge, it specifically lets you know that a Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - cross [1] [2] seat. I brought the car seat because you raj can't bring the baby back home without a car 14] seat. And like I said, she was already there [5] because she gave birth, obviously.

Case 3:21-cv-01474-MEM-SH

Q. And it's your testimony that [6] [7] you actually walked down or took an elevator [8] down to wherever the baby was with Ericka? [9] That's your testimony?

I don't know if the elevator is [10] A. [11] up or down. I can't remember if it actually [12] went up or it went down. I actually can't 1131 remember that.

Isn't it in fact true that [14] Q. [15] Ericka was still in bed, in her hospital bed, [16] when you showed up and the nurses approached [17] her, asking her who you were? Isn't that [18] right? And that you weren't present when she [19] said that's the uncle, that's my uncle.

No. No. No. Like I said, she

[21] dropped the baby in twenty minutes. The baby [22] was there before I even got out the house. So [23] by the time I got there, she was already [24] dressed and ready to go. She was, yeah, [25] dropped the baby in twenty minutes, dressed

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 79

Johnathan Robins - redirect

[2] divorce. And it says specifically in the law [3] only we can challenge our marriage. Yeah, I

[4] know. When I found out, I had to dig for [5] that. Because I was like, you know, it's not

[6] a commonly known thing.

THE COURT: You're referring to 181 the law in Missouri.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. In fact, [10] one at the top is Pennsylvania and the bottom

[11] is Missouri, and they both say the same thing [12] on that issue. And in the Missouri statute,

[13] it says a marriage goes by whatever state it's

[14] actually entered into.

THE COURT: Okay. You can keep [16] your copy. You can highlight what part you're

[17] interested in and we can discuss whether

[18] instructing the jury on any of it is

[19] appropriate.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I [21] did admit that I slept with her after, you

[22] know, but we were married and I just let you [23] know that and, you know, I could easily just

[24] sat up here and told you no, that I didn't

[25] know. So we're going to, like you said,

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Johnathan Robins - redirect [2] fourteen year old can be married. Do you want [3] to? Can you? Do you question that or? THE COURT: Mr. Robins, do you [5] have anything else you want to testify to? THE WITNESS: Yes. I'd like to [7] put this into evidence. Would I have you read 18] this law or would I have -THE COURT: You want the law of [10] annulment? Voidable marriages? What law are [11] you referring to? THE WITNESS: Well, see, in [13] both states, a voidable marriage is a [14] marriage, if someone misrepresents their age [15] it's voidable. But the status of a voidable [16] marriage is valid, a valid marriage. It says [17] specifically in the paper that even though a [18] marriage may be entered into by [19] misrepresentation, fraud, which is fraud, [20] duress, it can be voidable. But the status of 1211 a voidable marriage is valid and only the [22] parties, the husband and the wife, can [23] challenge it. It says it specifically in the 124] law. Only Ericka and I can challenge. She [25] never filed for divorce. I never filed for Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 81 Page 82 [1] [2] highlight it. [2] over and speak to your lawyer? THE WITNESS: Yes, please. Also, just one last thing so [4] that the jury will realize it. In the State (Witness excused.) [4] THE COURT: While you do that, [5] of Missouri, without any court -- this is the [5] 16) we can take a ten minute break. The jury can [6] state law -- without any court order, without [7] get out of the box. We'll give Mr. Robins an [7] any parental consent, she had to be eighteen, [8] you know. So it's not like we had to, there's [8] opportunity to speak to his lawyer. (Jury excused.) [9] some special thing about Missouri that's not 191 [10] the same way here, you know. The same way you (A brief recess was taken.) [10] [11] have to be eighteen here is the same way you [11] COURT CRIER: Court is [12] reconvened. [12] have to be in Missouri. But if you THE COURT: Before we bring the [13] misrepresent your age here or in Missouri, the [14] jury in, do you have anything else you wanted [14] exact law applies. There is no legal [15] to testify to? [15] difference. There's no deception of going to THE DEFENDANT: No. It's just [16] Missouri to get married when the same exact [17] law exists here, you know. Like I said, this [17] that I'll have to give this to you for points [18] of charge. [18] law is something I had to dig for. THE COURT: Yeah, we'll discuss Let me think is there anything [20] that. The first question is, is there [20] else that's relevant so that we can go ahead [21] anything else you want to testify to? [21] and get done. No. If you can think of THE DEFENDANT: No. [22] anything that might, Mr. McGill, any questions [22] [23] that you might want to ask. I know you're THE COURT: Do you have any [24] other witnesses? [24] looking at me, like. THE DEFENDANT: No, I guess THE COURT: Do you want to go [25] Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Page 84

```
[1]
[2] not.
            THE COURT: Do you have any
[3]
[4] rebuttal witnesses?
            MR. STACKOW: No, Your Honor.
[5]
            THE COURT: So I'll bring the
[6]
[7] jury out. I'll tell them, if you like, you
is can tell the jury that you're resting. Is
[9] there an objection to any of the exhibits?
            MR. STACKOW: No.
[10]
            THE COURT: So you can rest and
[12] move for admission of your exhibits in front
[13] of the jury and the DA has no objection so
[14] they'll be admitted. And then, Mr. Robins,
[15] are you ready to speak to the jury?
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
[16]
            THE COURT: As for points for
[18] charge, the DA did submit some proposed
[19] points. I'm going to give them as well as the
[20] standard instructions. Sometimes when I give
[21] a standard instruction, I wind up explaining
[22] it a little bit, so it's not strictly the
123] standard jury instruction but it's basically
[24] from the book.
             I'll instruct the jury on the
[25]
            Carl G. Sokolski
```

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

21 standard instruction on arguments of counsel, 3) the number of witnesses, judging the 14 credibility of witnesses, judging the [5] defendant's testimony, resolving conflicts in [6] testimony, false in one, proof of the date of 17) the incident, direct and circumstantial [8] evidence, the burden of proof, reasonable [9] doubt, the crimes charged as was proposed by [10] the DA. And with regard to Missouri and [12] Pennsylvania law of marriage, what's the [13] District Attorney's recommendation? MR. STACKOW: Your Honor, I [14] [15] think at this point that the law shouldn't, I [16] mean, I'm not asking the Court and I would [17] recommend that the Court not provide any laws [18] regarding marriage in either Pennsylvania or [19] Missouri. It's going to be up to the jury [20] what if any of that testimony they believe. [21] and the laws in either state aren't going to [22] help him do that. The marriage issue, whether [23] it was a valid marriage or not, if they find [24] it was a valid marriage, they're going to go [25] off the defendant's testimony. If they think Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Case 3:21-cv-01474-MEM-SH

Page 85 Page 86 [1] [2] whether you know what the law, whether you [2] that's lacking, obviously they can consider [3] that as well. But I don't think that there [3] were right about the law or not I don't think [4] is at issue. What's at issue is what you raj should be specific instructions as to what the เฮ law is in Missouri versus what the law is in [5] described in your testimony. But I don't know - [6] Pennsylvania. [6] what the law of Missouri is and I don't have a [7] point for charge with regard to the law of THE COURT: And your request is [7]. [8] Pennsylvania either. [8] what? THE DEFENDANT: Well, on the THE DEFENDANT: I request that [9] [10] statutory rape it specifically says -[10] the law actually be explained to them about [11] marriage, because if they decide to --THE COURT: It doesn't mean THE COURT: Well, I've read [12] what you say it means, because you are correct [13] what you have handed up to the bench and in [13] that every state has to recognize marriages [14] reading that, I don't know what the law of [15] Missouri is. That's out of a book. It states [14] that are legal in the state where they were [15] performed. All they're saying in the [16] statutory rape definition is that if somebody [16] some basic rules. It says that at commonlaw [17] you could be married if you were between the [17] is legally married because they got married [18] ages of twelve and fourteen. I don't know how [18] someplace else where they could be married, [19] Pennsylvania would have to acknowledge that [19] that helps you. It says Missouri has a law [20] with regard to voidable marriages and who can [20] and so for purposes of statutory rape they [21] could not be prosecuted. They're legally [21] challenge marriages, but it's inadequate to [22] give me enough information to tell the jury [22] married under the law of wherever, Arkansas, [23] West Virginia. [23] what the law of Missouri is on marriage. I [24] mean, they can consider what you thought the [24] People who don't have a legal [25] education and try to learn the law by reading Carl G. Sokolski [25] law was or that you researched the law and Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Page 87

[2] a law book make all the same mistakes that [3] you've made so far, and you can't pull out one [4] line or one paragraph and say, oh, here it is; 15] now I know what the law is, because you don't. [6] And I don't know what the law of Missouri is [7] either. So I'm not going to attempt to [9] instruct the jury on the law of marriage in [10] Pennsylvania or Missouri, and you shouldn't [11] try to do that in your closing argument [12] either. If you try to do that, I'm going to [13] stop you. Now, you can argue, if you'd like, [14] about how you tried to research the law and [15] what your impression of the law was, but if at [16] any time you say and the law of Missouri tells [17] you that, I will stop you. Do you understand? Mr. Stackow is going to speak [19] for, his estimate is fifteen minutes. I'm 120] going to impose a twenty-minute limit on each [21] side with closing arguments. So defense will [22] have twenty minutes and the DA will have [23] twenty minutes. Do you understand? MR. STACKOW: Yes, Your Honor. [24] THE DEFENDANT: Well, I just [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

[2] object I was going to say objection, that I [3] just really think that the law of Missouri. THE COURT: I got your [5] objection. [6] THE DEFENDANT: Okay. THE COURT: All right. With [8] that in mind, is everybody all set to go? MR. STACKOW: Yes, Your Honor. [9] [10] THE DEFENDANT: Yes. COURT CRIER: Please remain [12] seated. Please cease all conversation while [13] the jury enters the courtroom. (Jury summoned.) THE COURT: Mr. Robins, do you [16] have anything additional you wanted to [17] present? THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor, [19] I do not. The defense rests. I move for [20] admission of my exhibits. THE COURT: Is there any [22] objection to the admission of the defense [23] exhibits? MR. STACKOW: No objection. [24] THE COURT: All right. Then [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

(215) 683-8060

Page 88

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 90

[2] you'll have eleven other people to discuss [2] they're admitted. Ladies and gentlemen, we've now [3] that with. [4] arrived at the next stage of this case. The It's unavoidable that during [4] [5] evidence has been completed. Mr. Stackow [5] speeches people may come in go out. Try not [6] informed us over the break that he has no [6] to be distracted because each side, this is [7] their last chance to address you. And even [7] additional evidence he wants to present. You [8] don't have any rebuttal. [8] though it's your recollection of the evidence [9] that controls, what they say is not evidence, MR. STACKOW: That's correct, [9] [10] and even though the law comes from me and if [10] Your Honor. [11] they try to get into discussion of the law and THE COURT: So the Commonwealth [11] [12] they're wrong, I'll have to correct them, [12] rests, the defense is presented, and now it's [13] time for them to make speeches. It's a fairly [13] closing arguments can be valuable to you [14] short and simple case. There are five crimes [14] because each side has the opportunity and in [15] charged but they're simple crimes to explain [15] fact the responsibility to take what you've [16] heard in this case and present it in a way [16] and to understand, and I've told each counsel, [17] that is favorable to their side and help put [17] Mr. Robins and the DA, that each will have [18] twenty minutes to give a closing argument. [18] some of the evidence together and present If they say anything about the [19] arguments. They may touch upon things that [20] you haven't thought of. On the other hand, [20] evidence in this case and it disagrees with [21] they may leave out things that you've been [21] your recollection of what you heard from the [22] sitting there thinking about. You're not [22] witness stand, you're right and they're wrong. [23] If you're not sure about the evidence, if you 231 limited by what they argue to you. Eventually [24] think, well, gee, maybe a witness did say 24) when you go back to deliberate, you may have [25] something else, when you go back to deliberate 125) your own view of the evidence that neither Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 **(215) 683-8060** 

Page 89

Page 91 Page 92

[2] lawyer touches upon. Because the Commonwealth has 14) the burden of proof, they get to speak second. [5] So you'll hear first from the defendant, then [6] from the DA. After closing arguments, I will [7] instruct you on the law and then you'll go [8] back to deliberate. So, Mr. Robins? THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. [9] [10] JURY COLLECTIVELY: Good [11] morning. THE DEFENDANT: Again, I'm [12] [13] Johanathan Robins. When I first met Ericka, I [14] met her on a date line. As she always does, [15] she tells people that she's nineteen. That's [16] her favorite age she tells people. She sat [17] here and said that, told you, the jury, that [18] no, I don't lie about my age, you know. She [19] kept on saying, no, I told him my real age. [20] And then later on in testimony she says, well, [21] yeah, I do meet guys online and she don't tell [22] her right age on the line, later on after she [23] done told you that she did tell her right age [24] on the line. And then in a Freudian slip when [25] I kept questioning her about what did she tell Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter-(215) 683-8060

21 you me her age was, she said, yeah, I told you is the wrong, told you the wrong age. And then 14) she said, oh, no, the wrong name. Then I backed up and said, you know, what did you say [6] again? And she admitted in a Freudian slip [7] that, yes, she did tell me the wrong age when [8] she first met me on this date line. Again, she has ID that says [10] that she's over eighteen. She was employed at. [11] other places that says she's eighteen. The [12] hair place, you know. People might blame me. [13] Well, you should have known she was under [14] eighteen. Well, when she told people in my [15] family, they bought it. They believed it. [16] They trusted her. When she told that hair [17] service, they trusted her. They thought she [18] was over a certain age. So sometimes people [19] are older and actually do look young, you [20] know. But she has ID and she also just tells [21] people that she is an older age. She told us that over a long [23] period of time she told us that, oh, no, she [24] didn't tell anybody in my family how old she [25] was. She didn't say, oh, no, they just didn't Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 94

[1] [2] know how old I was. Then after I kept on [3] cross examining her, kept on cross examining [4] her, oh, yeah, I told Vicky. She told more [5] than Vicky. She told my mom, my sister, [6] Vicky, everybody that she was nineteen. So she also, the MySpace page. [8] It's very interesting after all of this, after [9] all this, that she knows she lied to other [10] people. She knows that I'm going through a [11] trial about her representing her age. She's [12] still on her MySpace page. Now her favorite [13] is saying that she's nineteen. And when I [14] showed it to her or told her about it she [15] said, well, other people got my password. But [16] she didn't act like she was so surprised that [17] it wasn't nineteen. I mean, even though other [18] people have your password, you don't notice [19] that it says nineteen on your page? You know, I really do still [20] 1211 care about my wife but I know that she's a [22] child and even though she's my wife, it's like [23] you really can't turn off your emotions. I [24] guess that's how Ericka, like, with the hair 125] thing. She tells them the wrong age. She Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] tells them she's eighteen, nineteen, twenty. [3] She gets in. Now that people like her, get to [4] know her, down the line, oh, yeah, this is [5] your age now. Like when the lady came to her [6] house, that's when the lady found out what her [7] true age was. And then she said that, you [8] know, she had to go ahead, her mom had to sign [9] something, even though her mother testified [10] that she didn't have to sign anything. So I [11] don't know what really happened, you know. I, you know, after I found out, [13] I really had to research the law because [14] marriage was important to me. It was very [15] important. I waited a long time to get [16] married. I had no other children by anybody [17] else. And the fact that, you know, people [18] saying that we weren't married, you know, I [19] did a lot of research on it. And my [20] understanding of the law, we're married, you [21] know. So but yet and still, I knew that she [22] needed to grow up. That's why I decided to [23] try to take custody of the boy and let her go [24] and grow up with her mother, but evidently she [25] doesn't want that. Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 95

[1] As far as when she was living [2] [3] with me in 2007, if she's living with me and [4] no one's coming, it's like why wouldn't the [5] mother, you know, say like where is my [6] daughter at? Where is my daughter staying [7] nights? You know, I didn't think that she [8] was, you know, that age because nobody was [9] coming to my door. The only time when her [10] mother came to my door is when, like I said, [11] we got the check cut off and I got the baby [12] back. That's the only time she came to the [13] door. Other than that, she was fine with [14] Ericka being wherever she was because I was [15] providing food, housing, shelter, clothing, [16] whatever, because that meant that all the [17] money that the mother had on welfare for [18] Ericka she could just pocket herself. But other than that, I did not [20] know how old she was until after we got [21] married and were about to have the baby and [22] the whole world just changed. The whole world [23] just changed in one minute, and all the plans [24] we had before were now scratched off. Where [25] we were working, you know, now it's like I'm Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[2] taking care of the baby and she's going to [3] school, which was not what I thought was going [4] to happen when we was going through this 15] before in 2007. I did not know how old she was [7] until then. I did not intend to hide or [8] disguise who I was at any point in time. I [9] filed for custody knowing that we had to come [10] to court in front of a judge and it would have [11] to be explained and that it's no way in the [12] world that I thought that my wife was going to [13] say anything different than I lied to him, you [14] know, he found out later, I'm sorry. I would [15] have never. Why in the world would I file for [16] us to go to court if she was going to say [17] something different, say the things that she [18] said up here? That's why I filed. I mean, I [19] did not think that those words were actually [20] going to come from her. You know, the person 121] she is now is not the person I married. It's [22] like it's two different people, you know, and [23] it's like she's really changed. As I said before, I'm not [25] guilty of the sexual assault because I did not Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 98

[2] know. It was a mistake of age. I did not [3] know. You know, if I knew, you know, we [4] wouldn't be here because she'd have been gone [5] from the gate, you know. But my family took [6] her in. My family loved her, you know. And ra eventually, you know, they found out. And now [8] she have to go back to hers. Me personally, hopefully you'll [10] see that I told the truth and hopefully you'll [11] see that all the stumbling and fumbling and [12] contradictory evidence that they were saying, [13] that maybe, you know, they weren't exactly as [14] truthful with you as I was. So I'm closing [15] saying that I'm innocent of these charges and [16] hopefully you will find me innocent of these [17] charges, you know. Thank you very much. THE COURT: Mr. Stackow? [18] MR. STACKOW: Thank you, Your [19] [20] Honor. May it please the Court. Mr. Robins [21] and Mr. McGill. Ladies and gentlemen, good [22] morning to you as well. JURY COLLECTIVELY: Good [23] [24] morning. MR. STACKOW: I'm not going to [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] take the a allotted twenty minutes. There's [3] no need to do that. You folks have heard [4] everything that we've heard and we've all [5] noted that you've paid attention throughout [6] the last few days. And I'll repeat what I [7] said the other day, that at the end of this [8] case the material facts really aren't going to [9] be in dispute and you know that now. We know Ericka's age, that she [11] was fourteen when she met the defendant and [12] that he was forty years old, that they had a [13] child together born on February twentieth, [14] 2008, little John-John now, and that at the [15] time that Ericka became pregnant, that she was [16] still fourteen and the defendant was still [17] forty years old. In Pennsylvania that's a [18] crime. That's statutory sexual assault when 1191 there's that age difference, and the law as 1201 His Honor will instruct you says that the age 1211 difference just has to be four years, not, you [22] know, twenty-six years as in this case. So I'm not going to take up all 1241 the time but I want to repeat a few things [25] that His Honor has already said and maybe Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Page 99 Page 100

**(215)** 683-8060

[2] touch on a couple of things that he may talk [3] about in giving you his law, giving you the [4] law of Pennsylvania, that is. The fact that [5] I'm not going to go over all the evidence, you [6] folks should consider the things that ! [7] mention, the things that I don't mention and [8] reasonable inferences to be taken from that. A lot of times and really what [10] you may find during your deliberations is [11] you're being asked to get inside the [12] defendant's head. What did he know? What [13] should he have known and when should he have [14] known it? And there's no way that evidence [15] can be presented about that. It's almost a [16] form of circumstantial evidence. In this case [17] you're going to have to make reasonable [18] inferences from the facts that you've heard [19] from both sides to tell you and exactly figure [20] out what the defendant knew or what he should [21] have known and when he knew those things. And [22] I think if you do that, if you apply the law [23] that His Honor will instruct you and you use [24] your common sense, it will be clear to you 125] that the defendant knew or certainly should Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

[2] have known that Ericka was underage. There's been some talk about a [4] mistake of age, and it's not simply enough [5] that the defendant testifies that, well, I [6] thought she was of age, I thought she was [7] eighteen, nineteen or twenty and then that's [8] it. It's got to be a reasonable belief. It's 191 got to be a belief that would be reasonable [10] under all the circumstances. And it's interesting to note [12] how many people, how many times when Ericka [13] came into contact with people, her age was an [14] issue. And it seemed to be an issue to [15] everybody but the defendant. Everybody was [16] asking or everybody was curious. The [17] defendant's turning a blind eye to that, if [18] not willfully knowing that she was underage, [19] shows you that any belief that he had and his [20] testimony isn't reasonable and should, I [21] submit, if you use your common sense and all [22] of the facts, take that off the table right [23] away. So what I want to next talk [24] [25] about is some things that His Honor will Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] instruct you in a little bit, and it's really

[3] witness credibility. How do you judge

- [6] about how to judge the credibility of

[10] kind of boils down to the credibility of

[13] minutes or so to talk about.

[14]

[4] credibility of witnesses? The judge will read

[5] an instruction that lists a number of factors

[7] witnesses, but they're really all borne from

[8] our common sense and understanding of human

Let's talk about the testimony [15] of Ericka Johnson. Obviously when I spoke to

[9] nature and how we interact with people, and it

[11] witnesses is their accuracy and believability, [12] and that's what I want to take next ten

[16] you vesterday I described her as a young lady [17] now that made some very bad and immature,

[18] unsophisticated decisions when she was

[20] line in the first place. But what I want to

[21] talk to you about her testimony is these

[24] to visually or almost visually give you a [25] visual image of exactly what she was going

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[19] fourteen, beginning with being on that chat

[22] images, that during the course of her account

[23] of being with the defendant, how she was able

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 102

Page 101

[2] through.

The one incident in particular

[4] that I'm speaking of is the first time that [5] she had sexual intercourse with Mr. Robins,

[6] with the defendant. Think back to her

[7] testimony. And the judge is, some of the

[8] points that the judge will say is the body [9] language or demeanor of a person and their

[10] ability to recall specific events. In other

[11] words, the more detailed testimony is, the

[12] more detailed people talk about things in the

[13] past, the better their credibility is. The

[14] more likely they're able to, they're speaking

[15] from an experience that they went through, not

[16] something that they're making up.

So think back to that testimony

[18] when Ericka is describing the time that she's [19] over at the defendant's house in March of

[20] 2007. And, you know, she's got the maturity

[21] that, the experience of three years now on her

[22] so she can describe it a little bit better,

[23] but at fourteen years of age she's not sure

24] what's going on. She's not sure exactly what [25] the defendant wants, what he's doing, how to

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 104

[2] respond. Not to say that she's resisting or [3] not consenting in the legal sense, but she's [4] scared and she's confused and she doesn't know [5] what exactly is going to happen step by step

[6] by step. Think of her describing being [7] [8] in this man's bedroom by themselves. It's [9] dark. She's sitting on his lap and he begins [10] touching her chest over the clothes and it [11] makes her uncomfortable, you know. The [12] defendant talks to her, convinces her to have [13] sexual intercourse. And like a fourteen year [14] old girl would do or somebody underage, [15] somebody immature, she describes to you [16] exactly what she does. She takes off her [17] close and hurries into the bed and pulls the 118] sheet over her. And the defendant's response [19] is, Why don't you let me see you? Why don't 1201 you let me see your body? And Ericka's [21] description of what happened next. That's not [22] just Ericka recounting what was going through [23] her mind in this terribly confusing, what must [24] be terribly confusing event to her, but that's [25] also stuff that the defendant was present for.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] That's the kind of evidence that you can use [3] to say, listen, when a girl acts like that, [4] when she reacts that way, when she's told you is already that she's fourteen on the chat line, 16] when you don't know her family, when you 17] haven't seen any ID, you don't know where [8] she's going, you've got an obligation there. [9] You better find out. And you can't just come [10] in here and say, well, I thought she was [11] nineteen because she told me she was nineteen. [12] Confronted with those kinds of circumstances, [13] we know better and your common sense tells you [14] better. He should have known, and if he [15] should have known, then his belief is not [16] reasonable. So even just the details that

[18] Ericka is able to relay about their first [19] conversation over the telephone, how she said 1201 that she was fourteen to him and his response [21] was, well, that's okay, I believe women should

[22] be treated no matter what their age equally, [23] and exactly the words that she was able to

[24] recount. That's something from personal

[25] experience. That goes to show you that her

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 106

Page 108

[2] account of that initial phone conversation is [3] believable, is credible. It's accurate and [4] it's believable, and therefore you should find [5] that that testimony is credible. And compare that to the 17] defendant's account. You know, when he gets 181 up on the witness stand and he's talking about 19) this, it's almost like he's giving this social [10] networking profile of people that he's looking [11] for. And, you know, his character, what [12] exactly his thinking is, starts to become a [13] little clearer throughout the course of his [14] testimony and all the other evidence of what [15] we now know. This is a man that was looking [16] for somebody that he could isolate, that he [17] could convince and talk to and control to the [18] point of doing exactly what he did to Ericka. [19] It's almost like in his own delusional mind [20] he's created some sort of perfect little [21] family and he needed an immature person to be 1221 able to do that, and to Ericka's great 123] unfortune, she was the one that happened to be [24] on the line that day. So listen to His Honor's [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] instructions on the law, listen to his 131 instructions on the credibility of witnesses, [4] and it will become clear to you that the [5] defendant knew. He knew exactly what he was 161 doing. He controlled the situation from the [7] very beginning, from that phone conversation [8] to the time out to dinner. This is a man that [9] wanted to get married and have children but [10] yet never did anything to find out about, you [11] know, Ericka's family, and that's because [12] that's the way he wanted it. He wanted [13] someone that he could easily isolate and [14] manipulate. That's exactly why we have these 115] laws to protect children from these decisions [16] that we know kids will make in Pennsylvania. This is a tragic case, really. [18] For Ericka Johnson to have gone through what [19] she did just because this man wanted some 1201 delusional family fantasy life of his own is [21] really a tragedy. Now, his fate after the [23] verdict, that's obviously what His Honor will [24] consider. There's lots of things that go into 25) that. That's nothing that you folks need to Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

12) be worried about. Your job at this point is [3] to figure out the facts, who do you believe, [4] who don't you believe, what parts of their [5] accounts do you believe, what parts you don't [6] believe, and apply it to the facts or apply it [7] to the law, excuse me, that His Honor will [8] give you. And in this case, the law is very [9] clear. As I mentioned yesterday [10] [11] morning, there's five criminal charges that [12] have been brought against the defendant. Two [13] that are probably most similar sounding and [14] will be during the judges instruction are [15] involuntary deviate sexual intercourse and [16] statutory sexual assault. To prove the [17] defendant guilty of those charges, we the [18] Commonwealth have to show beyond a reasonable [19] doubt that he was or that Ericka was less than [20] sixteen at the time that they had sexual [21] intercourse, that he was more than, four or 1221 more years older than she, and that they were 123] not married at the time of the sexual [24] intercourse.

For involuntary deviate sexual

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] intercourse, it's just that the oral sex is [3] the only distinction, the oral sex versus the [4] vaginal sex. Oral sex applies to the is involuntary deviate sexual intercourse and the [6] vaginal sex to the statutory sexual assault. Now, unlawful contact with a [8] minor. As His Honor will instruct you, that [9] means that if the defendant being an adult [10] contacts in any one of a number of ways, [11] including on the telephone chat line, somebody [12] who's less than eighteen for the purpose of [13] engaging in a sexual offense, in this case [14] underage sex with a minor, that person is [15] guilty of that charge. And you'll note that [16] in the instructions on that charge, there [17] isn't any of this talk about a reasonable [18] belief about an age, and that's really because [19] we recognize as a society and the law that [20] it's on the obligation of the adult to [21] affirmatively do that, to affirmatively know [22] exactly the age of these persons, you know, [23] somebody that you're contacting with. Now, corrupting the morals of a [25] minor and interference with the custody of a Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[25]

51CR00034302009

Johnathan Robins

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 110

Page 112

[2] child, those are more broadly based type of [3] crimes. But as I mentioned yesterday morning, [4] the interference with custody of a child has 15] nothing to do with Johnathan and John-John. - [6] This case isn't about his custody. It's not [7] about his child support or welfare benefits. [8] It's about him, the defendant, taking Ericka [9] away from her mother. It's not a forced [10] taking. It's not a kidnapping type taking. [11] It's an enticement or in any way creating a [12] situation where he lures her away from the 1131 custody of her mother. Now. I want to wrap up by [14]

[15] talking about the interest and the motivation [16] in this case. That's one of the factors that [17] His Honor will instruct you about credibility. [18] Does somebody have an interest or motive to [19] testify one way or the other? And in [20] particular, the defendant. He'll give you a

[21] specific instruction that the defendant has a

[22] motive, an interest in the outcome of the [23] case, and that you can consider that in [24] evaluating his credibility.

You can also consider the [25] Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 109

[2] interests and motives of both Ericka Johnson

[3] and her mother. This wasn't their idea. This [4] wasn't where they wanted to be. Ericka and [5] her mother, I would submit, wanted anything

[6] else other than to be in this situation. And [7] even on February eleventh, 2009, when they

[8] called the police, Ericka didn't run up to the [9] police and say he raped me, he's too old, I'm

[10] too young. It wasn't anything like that. She [11] just wanted to get her child back. They

[12] weren't looking to get the defendant locked [13] up, as he says, or anything like that. It was

[14] the police officers just realizing exactly how [15] the age difference was that caused them to

[16] arrest the defendant.

Even the defendant's own [18] witness, Mr. Michael Ward, the Delaware County [19] public assistance caseworker for Miss Freeman.

[20] clearly said that there is no, any evidence of

[21] anything but that Lucille Freeman and Ericka [22] Johnson were anything but honest and above

[23] board in all his dealings with them over the

[24] years. [25]

So clearly, when you consider Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 111

[2] can't do anything but call her his wife.

It's time to say Mr. Robins, [4] enough is enough. You shouldn't have been

is messing with that girl in the first place.

[6] You can't get up on this witness stand and [7] fool us. You knew better. You certainly

18] should have known better. And you are guilty.

Thank you very much, ladies and [10] gentlemen. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies

[12] and gentlemen, if you'd like to take a break,

[13] let me know and we can do that. Otherwise

[14] I'll go just go right into giving you my

[15] instructions. I don't see anybody asking for [16] a break. You will lock the doors, nobody in

[17] and out of the courtroom during the Court's

[18] instructions.

[19]

COURT CRIER: Yes.

THE COURT: If anybody wants to [21] leave the courtroom, you have to do that now.

[22] It still won't eliminate the possibility that

[23] the sheriff will come in through the side door

[24] or somebody will come in through the back

[25] door, but.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] all of that evidence, it's clear exactly what [3] was going on. And just because the defendant [4] says he thought Ericka was eighteen or # [5] nineteen or twenty, you've heard the [6] discrepancies in how really that's not even reasonable for him to believe it, but just [8] because he says it doesn't mean you have to [9] accept it. Just because we're here, just [10] [11] because there's a trial, doesn't mean that [12] somebody's got facts or evidence to dispute. [13] He's got a right to, a constitutional right to

[14] a trial by jury and he's exercised that right. [15] So be it. We've given him that trial. We [16] presented our witnesses. They've testified in [17] front of you after taking an oath, and they [18] testified credibly. Now it's your obligation [19] to weigh that evidence and apply it to the [20] law. If you do that, I think it will become [21] clear that maybe still in his own mind, in his [22] own deluded mind, he thinks he did nothing [23] wrong. Maybe, and it's even come out in his [24] closing arguments and part of his testimony,

[25] he still wants Ericka back. He still, he Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 116

Page 113

[2] such. However, in deciding the case, you

[3] should carefully consider the evidence in

[4] light of the various reasons and arguments

[5] each lawyer presented to you. Now, when I say

[6] each lawyer I'm including the defendant, Mr.

77 Robins, because he was his own lawyer in this

18) case. So I'm not leaving him out. I'm

19) including him in the term lawyer.

It is the right and duty of

[11] each lawyer to discuss the evidence in a

[12] manner that is most favorable to the side that

[13] that lawyer represents. You should be guided

[14] by each lawyer's arguments to the extent that

[15] they are supported by the evidence and insofar

[16] as they aid you in applying your own reason

[17] and common sense. However, you're not

[18] required to accept the argument of either [19] lawyer. It is for you and you alone to decide

[20] this case based on the evidence as it was

[21] presented from the witness stand and in

[22] accordance with the instructions I'm now going

[23] to give you.

So if what the lawyers said to [24] [25] you makes sense, then use it. If you think it

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[1] The Pennsylvania Supreme Court [3] has a committee and that committee's job is to [4] write up proposed instructions, jury [5] instructions. It's lawyers and judges and [6] people trying to make complicated concepts [7] simple to understand so that people like [8] yourselves, who don't work in here every day, [9] can be informed on what the law is in an easy [10] to understand way. Actually, when I was [11] practicing law, a lot of times I would pull [12] down the standard jury instruction to look up [13] the law, because it is easy to understand. Because they've gone to all [14] [15] that trouble, I'm going to read their standard [16] instruction to you, and I know that can be [17] boring. Actually, if I put my mind to it, I [18] could make it really boring. I'll try not to [19] do that. I'll try to from time to time talk [20] to you like this so we're actually [21] communicating and explain things to you along [22] the way that I think need an explanation. As I've told you already, the [24] speeches of counsel are not part of the [25] evidence and you should not consider them as Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] was just a bunch of hot air, disregard it. [3] It's really, the decision in this case is

[4] really up to you and your reception and

[5] interpretation of the evidence and this law as

[6] I explain it to you.

[7]You should not decide this case [8] on the basis of which side presented the [9] greater number of witnesses or the greater [10] amount of evidence. Instead, you should [11] decide which witness to believe and which [12] evidence to accept on the basis of whether or [13] not the testimony or evidence is believable. [14] In deciding which of several witnesses to

[15] believe, it is proper for you to consider

[16] whether or not the testimony of each witness [17] is supported by other evidence in the case.

However, you should recognize

[19] that it is entirely possible for a single [20] witness to give truthful and accurate

[21] testimony and that his or her testimony may be

[22] believed even though a greater number of

[23] witnesses of apparently equal reliability

1241 contradicted him or her. The question for you 1251 to decide, based on all the considerations I'm

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

21 discussing with you now, is not which side [3] produced the most evidence but instead which

[4] evidence you believe.

The testimony of Ericka, the [6] complainant in this case, standing alone, if

[7] believed by you, is sufficient proof upon

[8] which to find the defendant guilty in this

[9] case. The testimony of a victim in a case

[10] like this need not be supported by other

[11] evidence to sustain a conviction. Thus, you [12] may find the defendant guilty if the testimony

[13] of Ericka convinces you beyond a reasonable

[14] doubt that the defendant is guilty.

Every case, this case included, [16] has two kinds of evidence, direct evidence and

[17] circumstantial evidence. You've heard the

[18] term circumstantial evidence in the past.

[19] It's used outside of courtrooms.

An example of circumstantial

[21] evidence that is often given is suppose [22] yesterday, yesterday afternoon, you went to

123] the movie theater and when you went into the

[24] theater it was a sunny day and a couple of

[25] hours later you came out and when you came out Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

## Page 117

1,

Page 118

[1]
[2] you saw that windshield wipers were going on
[3] some of the cars, people were putting down
[4] umbrellas, the ground was all wet. That would
[5] be an indication or circumstantial evidence
[6] that while you were in the theater it had
[7] rained.
[8] So let me explain as the

[8] So let me explain as the [9] committee explains it. On the one hand, there [10] is direct evidence, which is testimony by a [11] witness from his or her own personal knowledge [12] such as something that he or she saw or heard. [13] The other type of evidence is circumstantial [14] evidence, which is testimony about facts that [15] point to the existence of other facts that are [16] in question.

[17] So if a witness comes in and [18] says when I went into the theater yesterday it [19] was a bright sunny day, everything was dry [20] outside, the sun was shining; two hours later [21] I came out of the theater, here's what I saw, [22] all of that is direct evidence, what the [23] witness says they experienced. But that [24] description of what the witness saw after [25] coming out is also circumstantial evidence of

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] what happened when the witness wasn't there to [3] see it. Whether or not circumstantial [4] [5] evidence is proof of the other facts in [6] question depends, in part, on the application [7] of common sense and human experience. You [8] should recognize that it is sometimes 19] necessary to rely upon circumstantial evidence [10] in criminal cases, particularly where the [11] crime was committed in secret. In deciding [12] whether or not to accept circumstantial [13] evidence as proof of the facts in question. [14] you must be satisfied, first, that the [15] testimony of the witness is truthful and [16] accurate; second, that the existence of the [17] facts that the witness testifies to leads to [18] the conclusion that the facts in question also [19] happened.

[19] nappened.
[20] So you have to believe him when
[21] he says it was sunny when he went in. You

[22] have to believe him when he describes what he [23] saw when he came out. And then you have to [24] conclude that that inference that it rained

[25] while he was in the theater is reasonable.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 119

Page 120

[1]
[2] I've been telling you since you
[3] first came into this courtroom that it's your
[4] job to find the facts, and that all depends on
[5] making a decision on credibility of the
[6] witnesses. As judges of the facts, you are
[7] the sole judges of the credibility of the
[8] witnesses and their testimony. This means you
[9] must judge the truthfulness and accuracy of
[10] each witness's testimony and decide whether to
[11] believe all or part or none of that testimony.
[12] The following are some of the
[13] factors that you may and should consider when
[14] judging credibility and deciding whether or
[15] not to believe the testimony. These are all

[16] things you're going to recognize as things you [17] do in every-day life. But this is a reminder [18] not to leave your common sense outside the [19] courtroom, that the judgment that you're [20] making is a common-sense, every-day kind of [21] judgment that you always make, but now you're [22] doing it as a juror in this case.

[23] So you would ask yourself, for [24] example, was the witness able to see, hear or [25] know the things about which the witness Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] testified? How well could the witness [3] remember and describe the things about which [4] they testified? Was the ability of the [5] witness to see, hear, know, remember or [6] describe those things affected by youth, old [7] age or any physical, mental or intellectual [8] deficiency? Did the witness testify in a [9] convincing manner? How did the witness look, [10] act and speak while testifying? Was the [11] testimony uncertain? Was it confused? Was it [12] self-contradictory or evasive? Did the [13] witness have any interest in the outcome of [14] the case, any bias, prejudice or other motive [15] that might affect their testimony? How well [16] does the testimony of the witness fit with the [17] other evidence in the case, including the [18] testimony of other witnesses? Was it [19] contradicted or supported by the other [20] testimony, by the other evidence? Does it [21] make sense? If you believe some part of the [23] testimony of a witness to be inaccurate, [24] consider whether the inaccuracy casts doubt [25] upon the rest of that witness's testimony.

oon the rest of that witness's testim Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

### Page 121

has a For

[2] This may depend on whether the witness has [3] been inaccurate in an important matter or a [4] minor detail or any possible explanation. For [5] example, did the witness make an honest [6] mistake or simply forget, or did the witness [7] deliberately falsify?

[9] credibility of each witness, you are likely to [10] be judging the credibility of other witnesses [11] or evidence. If there is a real, [12] irreconcilable conflict, it is up to you to [13] decide which if any conflicting testimony or [14] evidence to believe.

[15] As sole judges of credibility [16] and fact, you, the jurors, are responsible to [17] give the testimony of every witness and all [18] the other evidence whatever credibility you [19] think it deserves.

[20] If you decide that a witness
[21] deliberately testified falsely, not a mistake,
[22] but if you think that somebody swore to tell
[23] the truth, got up on the stand and told you a
[24] lie and that lie is about a material point,
[25] that is, about a matter that could affect the

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

. ugo 121

[2] outcome of the trial, you may for that reason [3] alone choose to disbelieve the rest of that [4] witness's testimony, but you're not required [5] to do so. You should consider not only the [6] deliberate falsehood but also all other [7] factors bearing on the witness's credibility [8] in deciding whether to believe other parts of [9] their testimony.

The defendant took the stand in [11] this case as a witness. And remember, early [12] on, I explained to you that no matter what the [13] witness's job, no matter what the witness's [14] title, you have to evaluate every witness. [15] That's true even where the witness's title is [16] defendant. He has a right not to testify but [17] if he gets on the stand and he testifies, then [18] he gets evaluated just like any other witness. [19] So all of the things that I've told you about 1201 evaluating a witness apply to him as well as [21] everybody else. You should not disbelieve the [22] defendant's testimony merely because he is the 231 defendant. In weighing his testimony, 241 however, you may consider the fact that he has [25] a vital interest in the outcome of this trial.

Carl G Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 123

Page 124

[2] You may take the defendant's interest into
[3] account just as you would the interest of any
[4] other witness along with all other facts and
[5] circumstances bearing on credibility in making
[6] up your minds what weight his testimony

[7] deserves.
[8] Now, in general terms, how do

[9] you deal with conflicting testimony? I mean, [10] if one witness says I told him I was fourteen [11] and the other witness says she never told me [12] she was fourteen, how do you deal with that [13] or any other conflict in any of the evidence? [14] Where there is a conflict in the testimony, [15] the jury has the duty of deciding which [16] testimony to believe, but you should first try [17] to reconcile, that is, fit together any [18] conflicts in the testimony if you can fairly [19] do so. Discrepancies and conflicts between [20] the testimony of different witnesses may or [21] may not cause you to disbelieve some or all of

[22] their testimony.

[23] Remember that two or more
[24] persons witnessing an incident may see or hear
[25] it happen differently. Also, it's not

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 [2] uncommon for a witness to be innocently [3] mistaken in his or her recollection of how [4] something happened.

If you cannot reconcile a
[6] conflict in the testimony, it is up to you to
[7] decide which testimony, if any, to believe and
[8] which to reject as untrue or inaccurate. In
[9] making this decision, consider whether the
[10] conflict involves a matter of importance or
[11] merely some detail and whether the conflict is
[12] brought about by an innocent mistake or by an
[13] intentional falsehood. You should also keep
[14] in mind the other factors already discussed
[15] which go into deciding whether or not to
[16] believe a witness.

[16] believe a witness.
[17] In deciding which of
[18] conflicting testimony to believe, you should
[19] not necessarily be swayed by the number of
[20] witnesses on either side. You may find that
[21] the testimony of a few witnesses, even of just
[22] one witness, is more believable than the
[23] opposing testimony of a greater number of
[24] witnesses. On the other hand, you should also
[25] consider the extent to which conflicting

Carl G. Sokolski

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 51CR00034302009

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 126

Johnathan Robins

Page 125 [2] you're not satisfied that he committed it on a [3] particular date. So the fact that witnesses were is not able to say here's what happened on 16] February seventeenth and then on March 171 twenty-ninth this is what we did and on June 181 the second, even though you don't have that 191 kind of specific date, that's not an essential [10] element of the crime. I'm going to give you the [12] definitions of the crimes charged, and before [13] I do that I'm going to give you an overall [14] instruction as to things we've already touched [15] upon a little bit, the presumption of [16] innocence, the Commonwealth's burden, and the [17] meaning of reasonable doubt. A fundamental principle of our [18] [19] system of criminal law is that the defendant [20] is presumed to be innocent. The mere fact [21] that he was arrested and accused of a crime is [22] not evidence against him. Furthermore, the [23] defendant is presumed innocent throughout the [24] trial and unless and until you conclude, based 1251 on careful and impartial consideration of the Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

·· (215) 683-8060

[8] a notice of what the trial is going to be [9] about. The bills of information in this case [10] allege that the crime was committed between [11] 2007 and 2008 on diverse dates. And in some [12] instances there may be a reference to [13] specific, the specific date of February first, [14] 2007. But each charge then states on diverse [15] dates between 2007 and 2008. You are not bound by the date [17] that's alleged in the information. This is [18] just a notice to the defendant so he [19] understands what the time frame is for these [20] acts that have been alleged. It is not an [21] essential element of the crime charged. You [22] may find the defendant guilty if you are [23] satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that he [24] committed the crime charged in and around the 125] dates charged in the information even though Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] testimony is supported by other evidence.

15] information. Sometimes it's called a bill of

in list of what charges are in the case and it's

- [6] information, a bill of indictment. But it's a

[4] trial, they have a paper. It's called an

When the Commonwealth moves to

Page 128

[2] evidence, that the Commonwealth has proven him [3] guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. It is not [4] the defendant's burden to prove that he is not [5] guilty.

Now, there is an exception to [7] that and I'll explain the exception when we [8] get to the definitions of these crimes. There [9] is a defense of mistake of age, and you'll see [10] the difference when I get to that explanation [11] of that defense.

It is the Commonwealth that [13] always has the burden of proving each and [14] every element of the crime charged and that [15] the defendant is guilty of that crime beyond a [16] reasonable doubt. The person accused of a [17] crime is not required to present evidence or [18] prove anything in his or her own defense, [19] except with regard to the defense of mistake [20] of age. If the Commonwealth's evidence fails [21] to meet its burden, then your verdict must be [22] not guilty. On the other hand, if the [23] Commonwealth's evidence does prove beyond a [24] reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, [25] then your verdict must be guilty.

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Although the Commonwealth has 3 the burden of proving that the defendant is [4] guilty, this does not mean that the [5] Commonwealth must prove its case beyond all [6] doubt and to a mathematical certainty, nor [7] must it demonstrate the complete impossibility [8] of innocence. That part about mathematical [10] certainty, there are some things in life that [11] we expect to be proven to a mathematical [12] certainty. If you do your taxes and you make [13] a mistake on the math, the IRS will [14] immediately call that to your attention [15] because they expect you to be right to a [16] mathematical certainty. If you hire somebody to design [18] a bridge, he's going to put together a design.

[19] He's going to give you all the calculations [20] and then you're going to be able to take that [21] and give it to somebody else and get another [22] engineer to give you their opinion, and he's [23] going to check to make sure that it works out [24] to mathematical certainty. What we do in court isn't like

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 129

[1]

Page 130

[2] that. It isn't like an accounting problem or [3] an engineering problem. You have people come [4] in and testify to something that happened in [5] the past, and it just doesn't happen with that [6] kind of certainty. Therefore, in a criminal case [8] like this, the burden is proof beyond a [9] reasonable doubt. And here's what that means. [10] A reasonable doubt is a doubt that would cause [11] a reasonably careful and sensible person to

[12] hesitate or be restrained from acting upon a [13] matter of the highest importance in his or her [14] own affairs.

A reasonable doubt must fairly [16] arise out of the evidence that was presented [17] or out of the lack of evidence with respect to [18] some element of the crime. A reasonable doubt [19] must be a real doubt. It may not be an [20] imagined one, nor may it be a doubt that you [21] manufacture in order to avoid carrying out an [22] unpleasant duty. So to summarize, you may not

[24] find the defendant guilty based on a mere [25] suspicion of guilt. The Commonwealth has the Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond [3] a reasonable doubt. If it meets that burden, [4] then the defendant is no longer presumed [5] innocent and you must find him guilty. On the 16] other hand, if the Commonwealth does not meet its burden, then you must find the defendant [8] not guilty. When you go out to deliberate, [9] [10] you will have with you a verdict sheet. It [11] says Verdict Report, Criminal Case. This is [12] where you record your verdict. There are five [13] charges listed here in no particular order. [14] And in the far column there's a place for a [15] verdict. You would put in there guilty or not [16] guilty. So for the first offense, your [17] verdict would be written in guilty or not [18] guilty, whichever it is. You'll come back [19] with a sheet that says guilty or not guilty. [20] And it could be guilty, guilty, guilty all the [21] way down or it could be not guilty, not [22] guilty, not guilty all the way down, or it [23] could be some combination, guilty of some

24 charges, not guilty of others. Your job is to make a decision Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 131

Page 132

[2] as to guilty or not guilty. Only in homicide [3] cases does the jury play any role in [4] sentencing, and this is not a homicide. In 15] this case and all other criminal cases, if you ind the defendant guilty, there will be a [7] sentencing hearing at some point and that will [8] be determined by me. That will not be your [9] determination. And I'll have more [10] instructions for you with regard to the [11] verdict at the very end. Now, with regard to these [12] [13] charges, and I'll just give them to you in the

[14] order that they're on the verdict sheet, [15] which, as I said, is in no particular order, [16] unlawful contact with a minor. The defendant [17] has been charged with unlawful contact with a [18] minor. To find the defendant guilty of this [19] offense, you must find that each of the 1201 following three elements has been proven [21] beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the [22] defendant was intentionally in contact with a [23] minor. Second, that the contact was for the [24] purpose of engaging in an unlawful act, that [25] unlawful act being the various sexual offenses

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] that are charged in this case as well as interfering with the custody of a child and 14) corruption of a minor. Third, that either the is defendant or the person being contacted is [6] within the Commonwealth. That's really not much in dispute. I mean, there hasn't been 18] any testimony about people living in New [9] Jersey and calling people in Pennsylvania on [10] the phone or being on the Internet where we [11] don't even know where they are. All the [12] testimony in this case is that whatever [13] contact was going on on the phone, in person, [14] was happening here in Pennsylvania. Contact is any direct or [16] indirect contact or communication by any [17] means, method or device, including contact or [18] communication in person or through an agent or [19] agency, through any print medium, the mails, 1201 the common carrier or communication carrier. [21] any electronic communication system, [22] blah-blah-blah-blah. It certainly [23] includes the telephone. It would include the [24] Internet if there had been e-mails or Internet [25] contact. And it also includes sitting in a Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter-

51CR00034302009 Johnathan Robins Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 134

έŋ [2] restaurant across from each other and talking, [3] because it's in person. But it has to be [4] intentional, so that the defendant was [5] intentionally in contact with a minor. You're going to have to make a - [6] 17] decision at what point he knew that she was a [8] minor, and a minor is an individual under the [9] age of eighteen. So you're going to have to [10] decide the credibility of testimony. She says [11] on the telephone, while talking on the [12] telephone, she told him I'm fourteen, how old [13] are you? And he said make a list, when we get [14] together I'll answer all your questions. And [15] then when they got together at the restaurant, [16] she again told him I'm fourteen, and how old [17] are you? The defendant says that's not what [18] happened, that she consistently misrepresented [19] her age. So you have to make a [20] [21] determination. When he spoke to her on the [22] phone, he's not looking at her, so her [23] appearance isn't going to affect that one way

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[24] or the other. That's a separate argument made

[25] by counsel, that everybody is asking her how

12] old she is because every time they look at her [3] they see somebody very young. If he did not make unlawful [5] contact with her on the phone, there's [6] continuing contact, I mean, in the restaurant, [7] at his place. At what point, at any point, [8] did he know that she was under the age of [9] eighteen? If you find that the [10] [11] Commonwealth has made out all of those [12] elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must [13] find the defendant guilty of this charge, [14] unlawful contact with a minor. On the other [15] hand, if they have not made out all of those [16] elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must [17] find the defendant not guilty. Next is involuntary deviate [18] [19] sexual intercourse. They could have come up [20] with a worse name for this crime. They just [21] didn't try hard enough. Deviate makes it [22] sound like something it's not alleged to be [23] and it's involuntary, but as you'll hear from [24] the definition, voluntariness has nothing to [25] do with this crime in this case because this Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 136

[1] [2] is all based on age. And if she were older, [3] then the defendant would be committing this [4] crime only if he forced her to do things that [5] she did not want to do, that she resisted. [6] We'd have to get into the meaning of all of [7] that. None of that applies. So don't be [8] confused by involuntary deviate sexual [9] intercourse. And deviate we'll touch upon in [10] the definition.

A person commits involuntary [12] deviate sexual intercourse when that person [13] engages in deviate sexual intercourse with a [14] child who is over twelve but under sixteen. [15] Children under twelve, that's a different [16] crime. This crime is involuntary deviate [17] sexual intercourse. So the first question is, [18] is the victim between the ages of twelve and [19] sixteen? And the defendant is four or more [20] years older. If you find, I mean, it's really [21] undisputed in this case. He has told you his [22] age. Everybody has talked about his age. [23] He's considerably more than four years older 41 than she was. Under our Crimes Code, such an [25] offense can be committed by either a male or a Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] female upon a child of the same or opposite In order to find the defendant [5] guilty of this type of involuntary deviate [6] sexual intercourse, you must be satisfied [7] beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant [8] had deviate sexual intercourse with the [9] victim, that the victim was a child older than [10] twelve but under the age of sixteen, that the [11] defendant was four or more years older than [12] the child, and the defendant and the child [13] were not married to each other. I know in the course of telling [15] the story, at some point they go off to [16] Missouri and go through some kind of a [17] ceremony and that the defendant describes [18] themselves as, describes himself as being [19] married to her. But all the activity that [20] takes place up until that trip to Missouri has [21] nothing to do with that last sentence about [22] that the defendant and the child were not [23] married to each other. As to activity that [24] they engaged in after coming back from [25] Missouri, I don't know if they were married or Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 138

Page 140

Page 137

[2] not. I mean, they described going out there [3] and getting a license. She got the license by [4] fraud and clearly the defendant has done a lot [5] of reading about the law of Missouri. I don't

[6] know what the law of Missouri is and I don't [7] think anybody else has introduced any

[8] evidence, competent evidence, about the law of

[9] Missouri. The Commonwealth certainly hasn't. So with regard to involuntary [10]

[11] deviate sexual intercourse, let me define [12] deviate sexual intercourse, because it has a [13] particular meaning in criminal law. Deviate

[14] is not a value judgment. Deviate is a legal

[15] term and it's not the same as deviant, which [16] often has a negative connotation. Deviate

[17] sexual intercourse occurs if a man's penis [18] penetrates the mouth or anus of a person or if

[19] the person's tongue penetrates the sexual [20] organ of a woman.

So you had testimony in this

[22] case about both. You had testimony about him [23] performing oral sex on her and she performing

[24] oral sex on him. Oral sex, in case you [25] haven't figured it out from this convoluted

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] definition, is deviate sexual intercourse.

Deviate sexual intercourse

[4] includes the slightest degree of penetration

[5] and it is not required that there be any

[6] emission of semen, and I don't recall any

[7] testimony about an emission of semen,

[8] ejaculation, but it's not necessary anyway for

[9] the crime to be completed. And there wasn't a

[10] great deal of detail, no embarrassing details

[11] about exactly how much penetration took place,

[12] but that doesn't matter because the slightest [13] degree of penetration, the slightest degree of

[14] penetration of her vagina by him orally, the

[15] slightest degree of penetration of his mouth,

[16] excuse me, her mouth with his penis, either

[17] way, that's deviate sexual intercourse.

Don't let the name of this [19] crime involuntary deviate sexual intercourse

1201 mislead you. It is immaterial to a charge of

1211 involuntary deviate sexual intercourse with a

[22] child under sixteen whether the child objected

[23] or resisted or even whether the child

[24] consented. When a child is under sixteen and [25] the defendant is at least four years older.

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Page 139

[1] [2] consent is no defense.

The defendant may assert the

[4] defense of mistake of age. It is a defense to [5] a charge of involuntary deviate sexual

[6] intercourse with a child under sixteen that

[7] the defendant reasonably believed the child to

[8] be sixteen years of age or older. The

[9] defendant has the burden of proving this [10] defense by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, if the child was [12] under sixteen and the defendant was at least

[13] four years older, you must find the defendant [14] guilty unless you are satisfied by the greater

[15] weight of the evidence that the defendant

[16] believed and was reasonable in believing that

[17] the child was sixteen or older. And again, [18] that goes back to whose testimony are you

[19] going to believe. Do you believe Ericka when

120] she says from the beginning I told him I was

[21] fourteen and we talked about the difference in [22] our ages? And then the question becomes how

[23] could he reject that? How could he hear some [24] girl tell him that she's only fourteen and

[25] then still insist on saying, well, I thought

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

121 she was at least sixteen?

Statutory sexual assault. Now, 141 as you hear this, it will sound like something

15] that you've heard about outside of court. It [6] will sound like statutory rape, which is a

171 term that is a fairly common term. This crime

[8] in Pennsylvania is statutory sexual assault.

The defendant has been charged [10] with statutory sexual assault. To find the

[11] defendant guilty of this offense, you must

[12] find that the following four elements have [13] been proven beyond a reasonable doubt: First,

[14] that the defendant had sexual intercourse with

[15] Ericka. Second, that Ericka was a child under [16] the age of sixteen. Third, that the defendant

[17] was four or more years older than Ericka. [18] And, finally, that the defendant and the child

[19] were not married to each other. That means

1201 not married at the time of the sexual

[21] intercourse. So any sexual intercourse that

[22] took place prior to the time they went off to

[23] Missouri, marriage is no defense. After they

[24] went off to Missouri, I still don't know if

[25] they're married. The defendant seems to think

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 142

[1] [2] that they are. [2] evidence. This means you cannot find the [3] defendant guilty if you are satisfied by a For purposes of this crime, [4] preponderance of the evidence that the 14) sexual intercourse has a special meaning and is it's a compound meaning, but one of those [5] defendant believed the child was sixteen or [6] older and that the defendant's belief was - [6] meanings is sexual intercourse like we all [7] understand the term, sexual intercourse being [7] reasonable under the circumstances. [8] the penetration of a woman's vagina by a man's [8] Just two more to go. [9] penis. [9] Interfering with the custody of a child. The [10] As described in the elements of [10] defendant has been charged with interfering [11] the offense, it is immaterial whether the [11] with the custody of a child. To find the [12] child consented to the intercourse. Consent [12] defendant guilty of this offense, you must [13] of the child is no defense. The fact that the [13] find that all of the following elements have [14] defendant did not know the age of a child or [14] been proven beyond a reasonable doubt: First, [15] that the defendant took or enticed Ericka from [15] that a child lied about his or her age or that [16] the custody of her parent. Second, that [16] a defendant honestly but unreasonably believed [17] Ericka was under the age of eighteen at the [17] that a child was sixteen or older would not by [18] itself be a defense to statutory sexual [18] time. Third, that the defendant had no legal [19] assault. It is a defense, however, if a [19] privilege to take the child. And there really [20] defendant, even though mistaken about a [20] hasn't been any legal privilege raised in any [21] child's age, reasonably believed that the [21] context here. The story that was presented by [22] child was sixteen or older. [22] both sides is meeting on a some kind of a chat A defendant has the burden of [23] room or telephone line, two strangers, and [24] proving this defense by a preponderance of the [24] then going to dinner and then to his house and [25] evidence, that is, the greater weight of the [25] there's no, don't be confused by this last one Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Page 141

Page 143 Page 144

[1]
[2] that says a defendant did not have a legal
[3] privilege to take the child. And, fourth,
[4] that the defendant either knew he was doing
[5] these things or he acted recklessly in doing
[6] them.

[7] A defendant acts recklessly if
[8] he consciously disregards a substantial and
[9] unjustifiable risk that he is taking a child
[10] from someone else's custody, that the child is
[11] under eighteen, and that the defendant has no
[12] legal privilege to take the child. It must be
[13] grossly unreasonable for the defendant to
[14] disregard the risk that what he or she is
[15] doing amounts to these things.
[16] There may be circumstances

[15] doing amounts to these things.
[16] There may be circumstances
[17] which exist in a case that provide the
[18] defendant a complete defense to this charge.
[19] The Commonwealth must prove beyond a
[20] reasonable doubt that such circumstances did
[21] not exist. One, that the defendant believed
[22] that his actions were necessary to preserve
[23] the child from danger. Second, that the
[54] child, who was at least fourteen years at the
[25] time, went with the defendant at the child's
Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[2] own instigation, not because she was enticed, [3] in a circumstance where the defendant had no [4] purpose to commit a criminal offense with or [5] against the child.
[6] If the Commonwealth has proven

[7] all of the elements of this offense beyond a [8] reasonable doubt, then you must find the [9] defendant guilty of interfering with the [10] custody of a child. If the Commonwealth has [11] not proven any of these elements beyond a [12] reasonable doubt, then you must find the [13] defendant not guilty.

[11] not proven any of these elements beyond a
[12] reasonable doubt, then you must find the
[13] defendant not guilty.
[14] And finally, corruption of a
[15] minor. The defendant has been charged with
[16] corrupting a minor. To find the defendant
[17] guilty of this offense, you must find that
[18] each of the following three elements has been
[19] proven beyond a reasonable doubt: First, the
[20] defendant was eighteen years of age or older
[21] at the time of this incident. Second, that
[22] Ericka was under eighteen years of age. And,
[23] third, that the defendant aided, abetted,
[24] enticed or encouraged Ericka to commit the
[25] acts that I've already defined for you, the
Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 Johnathan Robins

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 146

Page 145

[2] law. Therefore, you must accept and follow my

[3] rulings and instructions on the law. I am

[4] not, however, the judge of the facts. It is

[5] not for me to decide what are the true facts

[6] concerning the charges against the defendant.

You, the jurors, are the sole

[8] judges of the facts. It will be your

[9] responsibility to consider the evidence, to

[10] find the facts and, applying the law to the [11] facts as you find them, to decide whether the

[12] defendant has been proven guilty beyond a

[13] reasonable doubt.

And we sort of covered some of [15] that in the general questioning in the

[16] beginning because I asked everybody, you know,

[17] can you follow certain instructions? And one

[18] example I gave you was in Pennsylvania, the

[19] law of Pennsylvania is if a man is forty years

[20] old and he has this kind of contact with a

[21] fourteen year old, because some of the laws

[22] say under eighteen and some of the laws say

[23] under sixteen, could you follow the Court's 1241 instruction? And none of you had a problem

[25] with that. It's not up to you to go back in

Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter **(215) 683-8060** 

Page 147

Page 148

[2] your deliberations and try to decide whether

12] crimes that the defendant has been charged

[4] morals of Ericka by the alleged conduct that

The Commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of this

13] with, or corrupted or tended to corrupt the

18] offense. If they've proved those elements

[10] defendant guilty. If they failed to prove any

[16] Before I do that, does anyone have anything

THE COURT: Mr. Robins?

[23] provide you with some final guidelines for how

[24] you should conduct your deliberations. It is

[25] my responsibility to decide all questions of

[12] you must find the defendant not guilty.

[14] the jury with regard to going out to

[15] deliberate, unanimity of their verdict.

[22] retire to decide this case, I just want to

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[9] beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the

[11] of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt,

I have a final instruction for

MR. STACKOW: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Before you

THE DEFENDANT: No. Your Honor.

[5] I've already described to you.

[3] this is a good law or a bad law. You simply

[4] have to find the facts with regard to the age

is and the acts committed between the defendant

[6] and Ericka.

[17] additional?

[18]

[19]

[20]

Your decision in this case, as [7]

[8] in every criminal case, is a matter of

191 considerable importance. Remember that it is

[10] your responsibility as jurors to perform your

[11] duties and reach a verdict based on the

[12] evidence as it was presented during the trial. [13] However, in deciding the facts, you may

[14] properly apply common sense and draw upon your

[15] own every-day practical knowledge of life as

[16] each of you has experienced it.

You should keep your

[18] deliberations free of any bias or prejudice.

[19] Both the Commonwealth and the defendant have a

1201 right to expect that you will consider the

[21] evidence conscientiously and apply the law as

[22] I have outlined it to you.

Upon retiring to deliberate,

[24] you should select one of the twelve to be the

[25] foreman. He or she is the one who will

Carl G. Sokolski

Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

21 announce the verdict in the courtroom after [3] you have finished deliberating. Also, once in 14) a while a jury will have a guestion and it's is the foreman who will write out the question [6] and sign it and send it out.

, Although the foreman is the one [8] who announces the verdict, sometimes juries [9] are polled, and they're polled to find out if [10] the verdict is unanimous, because whatever [11] your verdict is, it has to be unanimous, which [12] means the foreman will stand up and say this [13] is our verdict but then each juror will be

[14] asked individually, is this in fact your [15] verdict?

[16]

[17] means in order to return a verdict each of you [18] must agree to it. So remember I told you, [19] next to each charge you're going to put either [20] guilty or not guilty. Before you can put down [21] guilty or not guilty for that first charge, [22] all twelve have to agree that it's guilty or

When I say unanimous, this

[23] not guilty. And I keep saying the first

[24] charge. There's no order that you have to [25] take these in. It's entirely up to you.

> Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 149 Page 150 间 You have a duty to consult with And I always read that the way [3] each other and to deliberate with a view to [3] it's written hoping that someday some [म] reaching an agreement if it can be done [4] appellate court will look at it and realize [5] without doing any violence to your individual [5] that it's wrong, that you really should treat [6] judgment. Each of you must decide the case [6] yourself in jury deliberations and each other [7] for yourself but only after there has been [7] better than you treat people in every-day life [8] and every-day situations, because this is not [8] impartial consideration with your fellow [9] jurors. [9] every day. This is a matter of the highest In the course of deliberations, [10] importance to both sides and you should treat [10] [11] each of you as jurors should not hesitate to [11] each other with the same respect that you [12] re-examine your own views and change your [12] would expect in a matter of the highest [13] opinion if convinced that you are wrong. [13] importance in your own life. [14] However, no juror should surrender an honest [14] So with that in mind, does [15] conviction as to the weight or effect of the [15] anyone have anything else? [16] evidence solely because of the opinion of your MR. STACKOW: Not for the [16] [17] fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of [17] Commonwealth, Your Honor, no. [18] reaching a verdict. THE COURT: Anything else? [18] In closing, I would like to [19] THE DEFENDANT: No. [20] suggest that you will be able to deliberate THE COURT: All right. Then, [20] [21] more easily and in a way that will be better [21] jurors number thirteen and fourteen, we [22] for all concerned if each of you treat your [22] couldn't have done it without you. However, [23] fellow jurors and their views with the same [23] now we are going to do it without you. So you [24] courtesy and respect as you would other [24] will be excused. We can only allow twelve [25] persons in your every-day life. [25] back in to deliberate. And the court officer Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

Page 151 Page 152

[2] will give you directions. COURT CRIER: Just remain [4] seated. Jurors thirteen and fourteen, go [5] inside. (Alternate jurors discharged.) THE COURT: While they're doing [8] that, do we know? I'm sure lunch has arrived [9] by now. COURT CRIER: Yeah. Their [10] [11] lunches are in the back. THE COURT: When you go back, [13] you can eat your lunch and then begin [14] deliberation. You can begin your deliberation [15] while you're eating lunch. You can do it any [16] way you want to do it. It's entirely up to [17] you. COURT CRIER: Please remain [18] 1191 seated while the jurors leave the room. (The jury retired to deliberate [21] its verdict at 1:18 p.m.) THE COURT: It's usually a good [23] idea just to hang around for a few minutes, [54] although I don't think they'll have any [25] questions considering the fact that they have Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

121 their lunch back there already. At some point [3] we have to designate a lunch hour. I'm [4] thinking from 1:30 till 2:30 everybody is free is to go out, have lunch, whatever you want to [6] do, as long as that's acceptable to the [7] stenographer. So from 1:30 till 2:30. And [8] then after 2:30, Mr. DeFino, if for any reason [9] you can't be back here at 2:30, just make sure [10] Mr. DeFino can reach you. MR. McGILL: Oh. Not a [11] [12] problem, Judge. THE COURT: You should stay [14] around until 1:30. Sometimes they go out and [15] then they immediately realize that they want [16] something. But if they can get through the [17] first ten minutes without asking a question, 1181 we'll all go to lunch. (A recess was taken pending the [19] [20] outcome of the jury's deliberations.) COURT CRIER: Court is [21] [22] reconvened. THE COURT: Okay. If we can go [24] on the record. I don't have my copy in front [25] of me. Do we have another copy? Did Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 153

Page 155

[11]

Page 154

Page 156

[2] everybody get a copy? MR. STACKOW: Yes, Your Honor. [3] [4] THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: We have a question. [5] [6] So what would you like to do? Mr. Stackow, [7] you first. MR. STACKOW: Your Honor, I [9] would suggest just reinstructing them on those [10] two charges in their entirety. THE COURT: And, Mr. Robins, [12] what would you like to do? MR. McGILL: Judge, can you [13] [14] clarify whether the age criteria means are [15] they interested in if the person is sixteen as [16] opposed to fourteen, or are they asking if the [17] mistake as to age is the issue? I don't think [18] it's clear. THE COURT: Well, the good news [20] is I can't find all of the charges but I do [21] have these two. What I did with the other [22] three I have no idea. But we don't need them [23] at the moment. With regard to these two, I [24] would only read them the part that relates to. [25] age, which is what they're asking for. So Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[1] [2] with regard to corrupting the morals of a [3] minor, the defendant was eighteen years of age 141 or older at the time of the incident and 151 Ericka, the minor, was under eighteen years of 161 age at the time. And with regard to [7] interfering with custody, I would simply tell 18) them that -- actually, I don't see anything in 19) the charge about the defendant's age. Is [10] there? Oh, yeah. It's sort of summarized in [11] the next element when they say. No, they [12] don't. Do you have a copy of the charge in [13] front of you? MR. STACKOW: I don't, Your [14] [15] Honor. [16] THE COURT: I mean, one of the [17] elements is that the child was under the age [18] of eighteen. Both of these statutes draw the [19] line at eighteen. And then after that, well. [20] Mr. McGill, this is your copy? MR. McGILL: Yeah. It's from [21] [22] the standard instructions, Judge. THE COURT: Yeah. Well, that's [23] what I was reading from before as well. It's [25] just what I had before was printed out from it Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

[2] looks like Lexis. Is that where you got this? MR. STACKOW: Yes. [3] MR. McGILL: I think I have [4] [5] that also, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter. See if you can find anything in there [8] about the defendant's age. Because in the [9] standard instructions they tell you that the [10] child's under the eighteen. That's one of the [11] elements of interfering with custody of a [12] child. MR. McGILL: Child under the [14] age of eighteen. Do you know the Crimes Code [15] section? MR. STACKOW: I'm looking at it [16] [17] right now. 6301, Your Honor. It says whoever [18] being of the age of eighteen years and [19] upwards. So I have no objection if the Court [20] wants to include that language. THE COURT: So it's the same as [21] [22] corrupting the morals. MR. STACKOW: Same as the [24] interference with the custody of children. THE COURT: Interference and [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060

[2] corruption are both he's over eighteen, she's [3] under eighteen. MR. STACKOW: He's eighteen or [5] older, she's less than eighteen, yeah. Not [6] that the distinction makes a difference in [7] this case, but. THE COURT: That's my proposal, [9] that we bring them in and just tell them those [10] age parameters with those two. That's all [11] they're asking about. Any objection to that? THE DEFENDANT: No. [12] MR. STACKOW: No, Your Honor. [13] [14] THE COURT: All right. Do you [15] want to bring them in? [16] (The jury returned to the [17] courtroom with a question at 2:43 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. Would [18] [19] the foreman please stand? I have before me a [20] question with your signature: Can you explain [21] the age criteria of the charges number four [22] and five on the list, one, interference with [23] custody of children; two, corruption of minor. Is that the jury's question? [24] A JUROR: Yes, it is. [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 157 Page 158 [1] THE COURT: Okay. The time on MR. STACKOW: No, Your Honor. [2] [3] this question is 2:05 p.m. You can have a [3] THE COURT: Before I excuse the [4] seat. I had told everybody in the courtroom [4] jury? [5] from 1:30 to 2:30 we would all be able to go THE DEFENDANT: Yes. [5] . [6] to lunch, because I wanted to go to lunch too, THE COURT: You do? [6] [7] which is why it's now just after 2:30 when THE DEFENDANT: No, no, I don't [7] [8] we're getting around to answering your [8] have anything. You may excuse the jury. [9] question. THE COURT: Okay. Then you're [9] You've only asked about the age [10] [10] excused to go back. [11] criteria part of those crimes, so that's what [11] (The jury retired to resume its [12] the parties have agreed that I can tell you. [12] deliberations at 2:46 p.m.) [13] And with regard to these two offenses, the age THE COURT: Well, if everybody [13] [14] is described the same way for both of them. [14] is going to be here, I'll just be back in the 115] The defendant has to be eighteen years of age [15] robing room. [16] or older and the victim has to be under the [16] COURT CRIER: Take a brief [17] age of eighteen. So if you find that the [17] recess to the call of the crier. [18] defendant is eighteen years of age or older, (A recess was taken pending the [18] [19] that's one of the elements, and if you find [19] outcome of the jury's deliberations.) [20] that the victim is under the age of eighteen COURT CRIER: Court is [20] [21] at the time that these incidents take place, [21] reconvened. Can we bring in the jury, Your [22] the corruption of morals, the interference [22] Honor? [23] with custody, then that element has been met. [23] THE COURT: Any reason not to [24] Does anyone have anything [24] bring in the jury? [25] additional? MR. STACKOW: No, Your Honor. Carl G. Sokolski Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 **4** (215) 683-8060

```
THE DEFENDANT: No.
[2]
           THE COURT: Okay.
[3]
           COURT CRIER: Please remain
[4]
[5] seated. Please cease all conversations while
[6] the ladies and gentlemen of the jury enter the
[7] courtroom.
            (The jury returned to the
[9] courtroom with its verdict at 2:58 p.m.)
            COURT CRIER: Your Honor, the
[11] jury and all parties are present. May I take
[12] the verdict?
[13]
            THE COURT: Yes.
[14]
            COURT CRIER: Will the
[15] foreperson please rise. Will the defendant
[16] please rise.
[17]
             Mr. Foreperson, has the jury
[18] reached a verdict?
            A JUROR: Yes.
[19]
            COURT CRIER: Have all twelve
[20]
[21] of you agreed on the verdict?
            A JUROR: Yes.
[22]
```

COURT CRIER: To this Common

[24] Pleas docket number CP-51-CR-0003430-2009.

[25] charging the defendant Johnathan Robins with

Carl G. Sokolski

(215) 683-8060

Official Court Reporter

```
Page 160
[2] unlawful contact with minor, what is your
[3] verdict? Guilty or not guilty?
        A JUROR: Guilty.
           COURT CRIER: To the same
[6] Common Pleas docket number, charging defendant
[7] Johnathan Robins with involuntary deviate
[8] sexual intercourse, what is your verdict?
[9] Guilty or not guilty?
            A JUROR: Guilty.
[10]
            COURT CRIER: To the same
[11]
[12] Common Pleas docket number, charging defendant
[13] Johnathan Robins with statutory sexual
[14] assault, what is your verdict? Guilty or not
[15] guilty?
[16]
            A JUROR: Guilty.
[17]
            COURT CRIER: To the same
[18] Common Pleas docket number, charging defendant
[19] Johnathan Robins with interference with
[20] custody of children, what your verdict?
[21] Guilty or not guilty?
            A JUROR: Guilty.
[22]
            COURT CRIER: To the same
[24] Common Pleas docket number, charging the
[25] defendant Johnathan Robins with corruption of
            Carl G. Sokolski
         Official Court Reporter
            (215) 683-8060
```

[23]

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Page 162

Page 161 [2] to thank you for your service in this case. [2] minor, what is your verdict? Guilty or not [3] And if you'd like, I'll be back in a few [3] guilty? [4] minutes if you'd like to wait in order to A JUROR: Guilty. [4] [5] answer any questions you might have. That's [5] COURT CRIER: Your Honor, the [6] jury has reached a verdict. It's guilty of [6] up to you. But thank you and you're excused. COURT CRIER: Please remain [7] all charges. May the verdict be recorded? [8] seated while the ladies and gentlemen of the THE COURT: Any reason why this [9] verdict should not be recorded? [9] jury exit the courtroom. (Jury discharged.) MR. STACKOW: I have no [10] [10] THE COURT: All right. We have [11] objection, Your Honor. [11] [12] to pick a date for sentencing and we'll order THE DEFENDANT: No. [13] a presentence investigation. Do you want a THE COURT: All right. You can [13] [14] mental health evaluation? [14] have a seat. MR. STACKOW: Well, Your Honor, COURT CRIER: Jurors, harken to [16] I'm going to be requesting a Megan's Law [16] your verdict as the Court has recorded it. [17] assessment by the Sex Offenders Assessment [17] You say you find Johnathan Robins guilty of [18] all charges and you say you all? We do? [18] Board. THE COURT: Okay. JURY COLLECTIVELY: We do. [19] [19] MR. STACKOW: So I don't know THE COURT: All right. Ladies [20] [20] [21] if you think that's necessary. [21] and gentlemen, if you will return for a few [22] moments to the deliberation room, the court THE COURT: I don't think a [23] mental health evaluation is necessary if [23] officer will give you a certificate of [24] you're doing Megan's Law. [24] service. When I say that it's the least that MR. STACKOW: With the Megan's [25] we could do, it is the least that we could do Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 (215) 683-8060

age 163 Page 164

[2] Law assessment, they ask us to request ninety [3] days as well for the completion of that. THE COURT: So we're looking at [5] a date sometime the end of June; is that [6] right? COURT CRIER: How's June [7][8] twenty-fifth? MR. McGiLL: Judge, will you do [10] it the following week? THE COURT: Sure. What day do [11] [12] you have in mind? MR. McGILL: Twenty-ninth of [13] [14] June, if that's available. THE COURT: We could probably [16] do it that day. What happens on a Tuesday, if [17] we're doing a jury, we'll do it over [18] lunchtime. MR. McGILL: That's fine. [19] THE COURT: All right. [20] COURT CRIER: That would be [21] [22] June twenty-ninth? THE COURT: June twenty ninth [23] [24] for sentencing. MR, STACKOW: I do have a [25] Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

[2] motion too, Your Honor. THE COURT: And that motion is? MR. STACKOW: To revoke the [5] defendant's bail pending sentencing. Would [6] you like me to? THE COURT: Well, is there any [8] reason why your bail should not be revoked? THE DEFENDANT: I really, I've [10] been going through a issue with my dad at the [11] house and when I was locked up the first time [12] he stole some stuff out of the house. I just [13] was wondering if I could just have like just a [14] week or something just to get everything out [15] the house. I understand. I've showed up [16] [17] every sentencing, like, you know. If I wanted [18] to leave while they was doing the verdict, I [19] could have done that. I understood. I'll [20] come back for sentencing, you know, because I [21] actually, you know, want to get through this [22] and hopefully I'll be asking if I can get the [23] Megan's Law from him, from you, because in the 1241 end of everything I still want to try to file [25] for custody of my son and I don't want Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter

(215) 683-8060

Trial (Jury) Volume 4 March 12, 2010

Johnathan Robins	
Page 165	<del></del>
[1] [2] anything in the way of that. So if I actually [3] anything in the way of that. So if I actually [3] anything in the way and it's still [4] my son regardless. [4] THE COURT: Is there a [5] THE COURT: Is there a [5] THE COURT: Is there a [6] mandatory sentence for any of these? [7] MR. STACKOW: There is for the [8] involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, Your [9] Honor. It's ten years. [9] Honor. It's ten years. [10] THE COURT: Bail is revoked. [10] [11] Anything else, gentlemen, before we recess [11] [12] [13] MR. STACKOW: Only may I [13] [14] present that order for the Megan's Law [14] [15] assessment on Monday morning, Your Honor? [15] [16] THE COURT: It's all right with [16] [17] me if it's all right with the clerk. [17] me if it's all right with the clerk. [18] MR. STACKOW: Is that okay? Or [18] [19] I could bring it back over this afternoon. [19] [19] I could bring it back over this afternoon. [19] [20] THE COURT: If you just give it [20] [21] to me, I sign it and I give it back to you? [21] [22] MR. STACKOW: Right. [22] [23] COURT CLERK: I don't have [24] anything to do with that. [24] [25] THE COURT: I can do it on Carl G. Sokolski Official Court Reporter (215) 683-8060 [215) 683-8060	okolski t Reporter